

Algorithmic Foundations of the Metropolis Algorithm and the Markov-Chain Monte Carlo Method

Sorin Istrail

Department of Computer Science
Brown University, Providence
sorin@cs.brown.edu

June 27, 2016

Outline

- 1 Outline
- 2 Markov Chains
- 3 Reversible Markov Chains
- 4 Random Walks on Graphs
- 5 The Metropolis Algorithm
- 6 The Hard-Core Model in Statistical Physics

Markov Chains

Definition Given a Markov Chain $MC = (\varphi, P)$. We say that a row vector $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_k)$ is said to be a **stationary distribution** for the MC if it satisfies:

- $\varphi_i \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq k, \sum_{i=1}^k \varphi_i = 1$
- $\varphi P = \varphi$, i.e., $\sum_{i=1}^k \varphi_i P_{i,j} = \varphi_j, 1 \leq j \leq k$

Stationary Distribution

Theorem (Existence and Uniqueness to Stationary Distributions)

For any irreducible and aperiodic MC there is a unique stationary distribution.

—

Definition of Total Variance Distance

If $v^1 = (v_1^1, \dots, v_k^1)$ and $v^2 = (v_1^2, \dots, v_k^2)$ are probability distributions on $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_k\}$ then we define the **total variation distance** between v^1 and v^2 as

$$d_{TV}(v^1, v^2) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k |v_i^1 - v_i^2|$$

Total Variation Distance

Properties of Total Variation Distance

- 1 If $d_{TV}(v^1, v^2) = 0$ then $v^1 = v^2$
- 2 If $d_{TV}(v^1, v^2) = 1$ then v^1 and v^2 are "disjoint" in the sense that $S = S^1 \cup S^2$ and v^1 puts its probability on S^1 and v^2 puts its probability on S^2 .
- 3 the Total Variation Distance has also the equivalent natural interpretation:

$$d_{TV}(v^1, v^2) = \max_{A \subseteq S} |v^1(A) - v^2(A)|$$

i.e., the maximal difference between the probabilities that the two distributions assign to any event

Convergence to Equilibrium

Theorem. (Convergence)

Let (X_1, X_2, \dots) be an irreducible aperiodic MC with state space $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_k\}$ and transition matrix P and an arbitrary initial distribution π^0 . Then for any distribution φ which is stationary for P we have:

$$\pi^0 \rightarrow^{TV} \varphi$$

We say in this case that the MC is approaching **equilibrium** as $n \rightarrow \infty$

Reversible Markov Chains

Definition Let (X_0, X_1, \dots) be a MC with state space $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k\}$ and transition probability P . A probability distribution π is **reversible** for the chain if for all $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ we have

$$\pi_i P_{i,j} = \pi_j P_{j,i}$$

A MC is **reversible** if there is a reversible distribution for it.

—
Theorem (A strong form of equilibrium)

If π is a reversible distribution for the MC, then it is a stationary distribution for the MC.

Random Walks on Graphs

An example. Let us consider a graph G that is a triangle with vertices v_0, v_1, v_2 . Let us take a random walk on the G . Suppose that we are at node v_i . Flip a fair coin. If we get H then we move to $v_{(i+1)(\text{mod}3)}$ and if we get T then we move to $v_{(i-1)(\text{mod}3)}$. Suppose now that we start at v_0 . Let with X_n denotes the index of the vertex at the walk at time n . We obtain the chain (X_0, X_1, \dots) Then:

- $Pr(X_1 = 1) = \frac{1}{2}$
- $Pr(X_2 = 2) = \frac{1}{2}$
- ...

Random Walks on Graphs

Definition A **graph** $G = (V, E)$ consists of vertices $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ and edges $E = \{e_1, \dots, e_l\}$. Two vertices are **adjacent** if they share an edge.

A **random walk on a graph** $G = (V, E)$ is a Markov Chain with state space $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ and the following transition mechanism : If at vertex v_i at time n it moves at time $n+1$ to one of the neighbours of v_i chosen at random with equal probability for each neighbour. The degree of a vertex v_i is the number of neighbours d_i of it.

- $P_{i,j} = \frac{1}{d_i}$ if i , and j are neighbours; and
- $P_{i,j} = 0$ otherwise.

Random Walks on Graphs

Theorem

The stationary distribution for this Markov Chain is

$$\varphi = \left(\frac{d_1}{d}, \dots, \frac{d_k}{d} \right)$$

where $d = \sum_{i=1}^k d_i$.

It is easy to see that φ is a reversible distribution for the Markov Chain.

Proof

- If v_i and v_j are neighbours (adjacent) then

$$\varphi_i P_{i,j} = \frac{d_i}{d} * \frac{1}{d} = \frac{1}{d} = \frac{d_j}{d} * \frac{1}{d} = \varphi_j P_{j,i}$$

- If v_i and v_j are not neighbours (adjacent) then

The Metropolis Algorithm

- We want to simulate a given probability distribution $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k)$ on a set $S = (s_1, \dots, s_k)$.
- The first step is to construct a graph G with vertex set S .
- We construct edges in G such that
 - The graph must be connected to assure irreducibility of the resulting chain
 - Each vertex should not be with high degrees as such a Markov chain is "heavy" - observe that in the stationary distribution on the standard random walk on a graph that the time visiting a certain vertex is proportional to its degree.

The Metropolis Algorithm

The following is the Metropolis Markov Chain probability transition matrix corresponding to the graph $G = (V, E)$

- If $(s_i, s_j) \in E$ then

$$P_{i,j} = \frac{1}{d} \text{MIN}\left\{\frac{\varphi_j d_i}{\varphi_i d_j}, 1\right\}$$

- If $(s_i, s_j) \notin E$ (s_i is not adjacent to s_j) then

$$P_{i,j} = 0$$

- If $i = j$ then

$$P_{i,j} = 1 - \sum_{(s_l, s_i) \in E} \frac{1}{d} \text{MIN}\left\{\frac{\varphi_l d_i}{\varphi_i d_l}, 1\right\}$$

The Metropolis Algorithm

The transition $P_{i,j}$ corresponds to the following mechanism.

- Suppose $X_n = s_i$
- First pick a state s_j according to uniform distribution to the set of neighbours of s_i , so each neighbour is chosen with probability $\frac{1}{d_i}$

Then

- $X_{n+1} = s_j$ with probability $\min\{\frac{\varphi_j d_i}{\varphi_i d_j}, 1\}$ (move to a neighbour state) or
- $X_{n+1} = s_i$ with probability $1 - \min\{\frac{\varphi_j d_i}{\varphi_i d_j}, 1\}$ (remains in the same state)

The Metropolis Algorithm

To show that this mechanism has φ as its stationary distribution, it is enough to verify that the reversibility condition

$$\varphi_i P_{i,j} = \varphi_j P_{j,i}$$

for all i, j . We prove this in three steps.

- For $i = j$ we have

$$\varphi_i P_{i,i} = \varphi_i P_{i,i}$$

- For $i \neq j$ and $(s_i, s_j) \notin E$ both sides are equal to zero as $P_{i,j} = 0$
- For $i \neq j$ and $(s_i, s_j) \in E$ we have two cases to consider.
 - 1 CASE A. $\frac{\varphi_j d_i}{\varphi_i d_j} \geq 1$
 - 2 CASE B. $\frac{\varphi_j d_i}{\varphi_i d_j} < 1$

The Metropolis Algorithm

- ① CASE A. If $\frac{\varphi_j d_i}{\varphi_i d_j} \geq 1$ then $\frac{\varphi_i d_j}{\varphi_j d_i} \leq 1$

$$\text{Then } \varphi_i P_{i,j} = \varphi_i * \frac{1}{d_i} * 1 = \frac{\varphi_i}{d_i}$$

$$\text{Also } \varphi_j P_{j,i} = \varphi_j * \frac{1}{d_j} * \frac{\varphi_i d_j}{\varphi_j d_i} = \frac{\varphi_i}{d_i}$$

In conclusion: $\varphi_i P_{i,j} = \varphi_j P_{j,i}$ (reversibility)

- ② CASE B. If $\frac{\varphi_j d_i}{\varphi_i d_j} < 1$ then $\frac{\varphi_i d_j}{\varphi_j d_i} > 1$

$$\text{Then } \varphi_i P_{i,j} = \varphi_i * \frac{1}{d_i} * \frac{\varphi_j d_i}{\varphi_i d_j} = \frac{\varphi_j}{d_j}$$

Also we have

$$\varphi_j P_{j,i} = \varphi_j * \frac{1}{d_j} * (\text{MIN}\{\frac{\varphi_i d_j}{\varphi_j d_i}, 1\}) = \varphi_j * \frac{1}{d_j} * 1 = \frac{\varphi_j}{d_j} * 1 = \frac{\varphi_j}{d_j}$$

In conclusion: $\varphi_i P_{i,j} = \varphi_j P_{j,i}$ (reversibility).

The Hard-Core Model - in statistical physics

Consider a graph $G = (V, E)$, $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$, $E = \{e_1, \dots, e_l\}$
Randomly assign value 0 and 1 on each vertex, such that no two adjacent vertices (endpoints of an edge) both take value 1.

An assignment of 0's and 1's to the vertices is called a
configuration $C : V \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$.

The set of all configurations is $\{0, 1\}^V$. A configuration is **feasible** if no two 1s are adjacent.

—
This is a statistical mechanics model, called "Hard-Core" as it tries to capture some of the behavior of gas molecules where particles have non-negative radii and cannot overlap;
1s represent a particles, and 0s empty spaces.

The Hard-Core Model - in statistical physics

We assign equal probability to each configuration.

Consider μ_G a probability distribution on $\{0, 1\}^V$ defined as follows.

- If ξ is feasible then $\mu_G(\xi) = \frac{1}{Z}$
- If ξ is not feasible then $\mu_G(\xi) = 0$

Z is the number of feasible configurations.

—

NATURAL QUESTION:

What is the expected number of 1s in a random configuration chosen according to μ_G ?

The Hard-Core Model - in statistical physics

If we write $n(\xi)$ = the number of 1s in configuration ξ and we denote by X a random configuration chosen according to $\mu_G(\xi)$ then:

$$E[n(\xi)] = \sum_{\xi \in \{0,1\}^V} n(\xi) \mu_G(\xi) = \frac{1}{Z_G} \sum_{\xi \in \{0,1\}^V} n(\xi) I_{[\xi \text{ feasible}]}$$

Hard to compute and Z_G = the total number of feasible configurations on graph G is hard to compute as well.

The Hard-Core Model - in statistical physics

- To evaluate this sum is infeasible unless the graph is very small. For an 8×8 grid there are $2^{64} = 10^{19}$ configurations.
- Most terms are zero but the number of non-zero terms grows exponential as well.
- **When we cannot compute $E[n(X)]$ we go to simulations!**

The Hard-Core Model - in statistical physics

- If we know how to simulate a random configuration X with distribution μ_G , then we can do this many times, and estimate $E[n(X)]$ by the average number of 1's in our simulation.
- By the **Law of Large Numbers** this estimate converges to the same true value of $E[n(X)]$ as the number of simulations tends to infinity.

The Hard-Core Model - in statistical physics

- How is it possible to be easier to construct a Markov Chain with the desired property than to construct a random variable with distribution φ directly?
- We typically solve such problems by finding a stronger Markov Chain satisfying the property of reversibility not just stationarity of the distribution.

The Hard-Core Model - in statistical physics

An Markov Chain Monte Carlo Algorithm for the Hard-Core Model on a graph G

We are at time n in configuration X_n . At time $n + 1$ we do the following:

- 1 Pick a vertex $v \in V$ at random uniformly
- 2 Toss a fair coin
- 3 If the coin comes up Heads, and all neighbours at V take value 0 in X_n then we let $X_{n+1}(v) = 1$; otherwise $X_{n+1}(v) = 0$
- 4 For all vertices w other than v leave the value of w unchanged, i.e., $X_{n+1}(w) = X_n(w)$

It is not difficult to verify that this MC is irreducible and aperiodic and μ_G is reversible.