Embedded design and engineering







Indirectly addressed registers

Why does SYNCBUSY hang at weird times?

Figure 15-5. GCLK Indirect Access



Writing these registers is done by setting the corresponding ID bit group. To read a register, the user must write the ID of the channel, i, in the corresponding register. The value of the register for the corresponding ID is available in the user interface by a read access.

For example, the sequence to read the GENCTRL register of generic clock generator i is:

- 1. Do an 8-bit write of the i value to GENCTRL.ID
- 2. Read the value of GENCTRL

From the SAM D21 Family Datasheet

GENCTRL causing hang

GENCTRL isn't actually a register,

it abstracts access to multiple registers



From the SAM D21 Family Datasheet

Writing to the ID bits of the register tells the MCU which *actual* GENCTRL register to access

If multiple ID bits are set (i.e. if you wrote to GENCTRL

- using I= rather than =), the write will hang
 - It doesn't know which bits to access!
 - SYNCBUSY thinks a write is still happening so never clears

Projects

I will read your project proposals this weekend and give feedback

Each team will get a TA mentor to check in with weekly

If you are capstoning: think of possible individual contributions; watch for Ed post to schedule a meeting with me

Next steps: requirements, design, prototyping

Today

Where we've been

Nitty-gritty of embedded (physics, architecture, memory, clocks)

Where we're going

Bigger picture: software engineering for embedded



What is the difference between software engineering and programming?

Difference

People skills

Software engineering involves working with people, to make products that will be used by people

We are not flawless, nor are we machines

We have biases, bad days, grudges, weaknesses, but also empathy, collaboration, and diverse viewpoints



What are some ways that sloppy communication or poor management can make for bad code?

communication

System development life cycle

5-10+ stages, may include

- Idea or solicitation by customer
- Marketing
- Planning
- Requirements/analysis
- Design
- Implementation/development
- Testing
- Verification and validation
- Operation/maintenance



Planning and design makes up majority of SW process

What percentage of your design time is spent on each of the following stages?



EETimes embedded

2019 Embedded Markets Study

© 2019 Copyright by AspenCore. All rights reserved.

638

Image source





Product requirements

What the product does from the customer POV

Software requirements

What the product does from the SW POV (high-level, not the "how")

High level/architecture design What modules there are in the system, which module performs which function, how modules communicate

Low level/module design

Flowcharts, statecharts/finite state machines, algorithms...

Illustrative example: microchip cat feeder

Designed to only give authorized pets access to food

If microchip scanner detects correct cat, lid opens

Also has a "training mode" where lid is in one of several partially open positions



Product requirements

Software requirements

High level/architect ure design

Low level/module design

Product requirements

FEATURES



Designed for multi-pet homes to stop pets stealing each others' food



Ensures that prescription food is consumed by the right pet

Great for pets on weight management diets



Compatible with all identification microchips worldwide & SureFlap RFID collar tags

Customer-facing Can be a list of features Used in marketing

Works with the SureFlap RFID collar to (one tag included)



Suitable for both wet and dry food. Bowl capacity 400 ml or two pouches of wet food

Sealed bowl keeps food fresher and

6 months battery life (4 x C cell

image source

Break

Software requirements

Written with specific wording and format

"Shall" - the software **must** do this

"Should" - the software has this goal

Labeled or numbered (RS-1, RS-2, RS-2.a...)

Precise and measurable

Quantitative over qualitative

Can be tested

What the software does, not how

| Product requirements | |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Software requirements | |
| High level/architect ure design | |
| Low level/module design | |

Cat feeder inputs

Microchip #

- Lid close/open button
- Program microchip button
- Training mode button
- Lid delay switch



<u>Image source</u>

Cat feeder outputs

Lid angle Status LED



Cat feeder requirements

R1: If the lid is closed and the lid open/close button is pressed, the lid shall open

R2: If the lid is open and the lid open/close button is pressed, the lid shall close

R3: The lid delay seconds shall be 3 if the delay switch is at position 3, 2 if the delay switch is at position 2, and 1 if the delay switch is at position 1

Product requirements

Software requirements

High level/architect ure design Low level/module design



Working with your table group, come up with 4 more requirements for the feeder

More cat feeder requirements

Product

High-level/architecture design

How components fit together and what the interfaces are Boxes-and-arrows diagram: **boxes** are components,

arrows are interfaces

Rule-of-thumb: should fit on one page

Details of components are left to detailed design





Sequence diagrams

Shows interaction between components

Columns: components

Arrows between columns: data sent across interfaces

Temporally arranged (lower is later)

Usually one for each customer **scenario**

Scenario is variant of a **use case**

Product requirements

Software requirements

High level/architect ure design Low level/module design



Break

Finite state machines

Low-level design for a module Shows the change in state of a module Contrast with **flowchart**, which just shows flow of computation

- At basic level, composed of:
 - States (one state is initial state)
 - Guards (predicates on inputs)
 - Actions (setting outputs)



Figure 3.3: Visual notation for a finite state machine.

Product requirements

Software requirements

High level/architect ure design Low level/module design

Variants

Multiple ways to define statecharts/FSMs Mealy vs. Moore, deterministic vs non-deterministic, etc Extended FSMs: state variables (variables that are **not** inputs or outputs) can appear in guards and actions We will use **deterministic, extended** FSMs as defined by Lee/Seshia

Will be useful when we talk about modeling

Translate well to coding

Product requirements

Software requirements

High level/architect ure design Low level/module design

Cat feeder FSM

Whiteboard demo - concepts discussed: inputs, outputs, variables, guards, actions, stutter





How do we know that our design has met our requirements?

Traceability

Ensures that all requirements have been implemented and tested

Often done using a traceability matrix

- Example: each column is a requirement; each row is a transition
- "x" in a cell if the transition helps meet the requirement
- If a column has no x's, means requirement isn't being met
- If a row has no x's, means transition is unnecessary (or requirement is missing/wasn't stated!)

Product requirements Software requirements High level/architect ure design Low level/module design Implementation

Example: requirements to FSM traceability

R1: If the lid is closed and the lid open/close button is pressed, the lid shall open R2: If the lid is open and the lid open/close button is pressed, the lid shall close

| | R1 | R2 |
|-------|----|----|
| Т 1-2 | | |
| T 2-1 | | |





