

Homework 8: Walrasian Equilibria

CSCI 1440/2440

2025-11-13

Due Date: Tuesday, November 18, 2025. 11:59 PM.

We encourage you to work in groups of size two. Each group need only submit one solution. Your submission must be typeset using L^AT_EX. Please submit via Gradescope with you and your partner's Banner ID's and which course you are taking.

For 1000-level, you should solve the first three problems. For 2000-level credit, you should solve all four problems.

Unit-demand Bidders

Throughout this assignment, we assume the following multi-parameter setting:

1. There is a set G of m (possibly heterogeneous) goods.
2. There is a set $[n]$ of n bidders, with each bidder i characterized by a private valuation v_i that ascribes value $v_i(j)$ to good j .
3. The bidders' valuations are characterized as **unit-demand**, meaning each bidder i values each bundle $X \subseteq G$ as $v_i(X) = \max_{j \in X} v_i(j)$.

An outcome in this model consists of an allocation and payment scheme (also called a **pricing**). An allocation is a matching M of goods to bidders, in which each bidder is matched to at most one good, and each good, to at most one bidder. A pricing is a vector of prices $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$, where $q(j)$ is the price of good $j \in G$. We denote the good matched to bidder i under matching M by $M(i) \in G$, and its price by $q(M(i)) \in \mathbb{R}_+$. A bidder i might not be matched in M , in which case we define $M(i) = \emptyset$ and $v_i(\emptyset) = q(\emptyset) = 0$. The welfare of a matching M is defined as $\text{Wel}(M) = \sum_i v_i(M(i))$. Finally, we define a **Walrasian equilibrium** (WE) (M, \mathbf{q}) as follows:

WE1 Each bidder i is allocated a preferred good: i.e., one such that

$$v_i(M(i)) - q(M(i)) \geq v_i(j) - q(j), \quad \forall j \in G.$$

Note that this condition implies that all bidders' utilities are non-negative, since $M(i) = \emptyset$ is a valid allocation for bidder i .

WE2 The market clears: i.e., if good j is unallocated, then $q(j) = 0$.

Likewise, if $q(j) > 0$, then there exists an i such that $M(i) = j$.

1 Walras' Law

Given a unit-demand market together with a matching M of goods to buyers and a pricing q , the total value of demand is $\sum_{i \in N} q(M(i))$ and the total value of supply is $\sum_{j \in G} q(j)$. Walras' Law¹ asserts that, at (Walrasian) equilibrium:

¹ Léon Walras was a French economist who pioneered the development of general equilibrium theory.

$$\sum_{i \in N} q(M(i)) = \sum_{j \in G} q(j).$$

Argue that Walras' Law is equivalent to market clearance (WE2).

2 Mixing and Matching Matchings

Prove the following claim: if (M, q) is a Walrasian equilibrium and $M^* \neq M$ is a welfare-maximizing matching, then (M^*, q) is also a Walrasian equilibrium. **Hint:** Use the First Welfare Theorem.

3 Walrasian Equilibrium Prices form a Lattice

Prove that Walrasian Equilibrium price vectors form a lattice. Concretely, let (M, q^1) and (M, q^2) be two Walrasian equilibria. Show that $(M, q^1 \wedge q^2)$ and $(M, q^1 \vee q^2)$ are also Walrasian equilibria, where $q^1 \wedge q^2$ and $q^1 \vee q^2$ are pricings obtained by taking the component-wise minimum and maximum of q^1 and q^2 , respectively: i.e.,

- $(q^1 \wedge q^2)(j) = \min\{q_1(j), q_2(j)\}$
- $(q^1 \vee q^2)(j) = \max\{q_1(j), q_2(j)\}$

4 ϵ -Walrasian Equilibrium and the First Welfare Theorem

Define an ϵ -Walrasian Equilibrium (M, q) as follow:

WE1 Each bidder i is allocated a preferred good: i.e., one such that

$$v_i(M(i)) - q(M(i)) \geq v_i(j) - q(j) - \epsilon, \quad \forall j \in G,$$

and all bidders' utilities are non-negative.

WE2 The market clears: i.e., if good j is unallocated, then $q(j) = 0$.

Likewise, if $q(j) > 0$, then there exists an i such that $M(i) = j$.

Prove the following approximate version of the celebrated First Welfare Theorem: if (M, q) is an ϵ -Walrasian equilibrium, then $\text{Wel}(M) = \sum_i v_i(M(i))$ is within $\epsilon \min\{n, m\}$ of the value of a welfare-maximizing matching.