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Signals Part 2
Job Control

$ who
  - foreground job

$ multiprocessProgram
  - foreground job

^z
stopped
$ bg

[1] multiprocessProgram &
  - multiprocessProgram becomes background job 1

$ longRunningProgram &
[2]

$ fg %1
multiprocessProgram
  - multiprocessProgram is now the foreground job

^C

$
Process Groups

- Set of processes sharing the window/keyboard
  - sometimes called a job
- Foreground process group/job
  - currently associated with window/keyboard
  - receives keyboard-generated signals
- Background process group/job
  - not currently associated with window/keyboard
  - doesn’t currently receive keyboard-generated signals
Each terminal window has a process group associated with it — this defines the current foreground process group. Keyboard-generated signals are sent to all processes in the current window’s process group. This group normally consists of the shell and any of its descendents that have not been moved to other process groups.
When you type a command into the shell without an ampersand, the shell makes sure that all the processes of that command are in a separate process group, shared with no other processes. The shell changes the window’s process group to be that of the job, so that keyboard-generated signals are directed to the processes of the job and not to the shell. A process group’s ID is the pid of its first member.
Keyboard-generated signals are not delivered to background jobs (for example, commands that are typed in with ampersands).
When you stop (or, synonymously, suspend) a foreground job, its execution is suspended (by sending it a SIGTSTP) and it is replaced as the foreground job by the shell.

```
$ multiprocessProgram
^Z
[2] stopped
$
```
If you then give the `bg` command to the shell, the most recent suspended job is sent a SIGCONT, which cause it to resume execution in the background, while the shell continues as the foreground job.
The `fg` command brings a job back to the foreground. Given with no arguments, the most recently suspended or backgrounded job is brought to the foreground, otherwise the argument specifies which job to bring to the foreground. In our example, the job that is being brought to the foreground is currently running in the background, so all that's necessary is for the shell to change the process group of the window and then wait for the job to terminate.
$ long_running_prog1 &
$ long_running_prog2
^Z
[2] stopped
$ ^c

Which process group receives the SIGINT signal?
  a) the one containing the shell
  b) the one containing
      long_running_prog1
  c) the one containing
      long_running_prog2
Creating a Process Group

```c
if (fork() == 0) {
   // child
   setpgid(0, 0);
   /* puts current process into a
      new process group whose ID is
      the process’s pid.
      Children of this process will be in
      this process's process group.
   */
   ...
   execv(...);
}
// parent
```

The first argument to `setpgid` is the process ID of the process whose process group is being changed; 0 means the pid of the calling process. The second argument is the ID of the process group it’s being added to. If it’s 0, then a new group is created whose ID is that of the calling process. Future children of this process join the new process group.
The `tcsetpgrp` command sets the process group associated with a terminal (i.e., a window), thus setting that process group to be the foreground process group.
Background Input and Output

- **Background process reads from keyboard**
  - the keyboard really should be reserved for foreground process
  - background process gets SIGTTIN
    » suspends it by default

- **Background process writes to display**
  - display also used by foreground process
  - could be willing to share
  - background process gets SIGTTOU
    » suspends it (by default)
    » but reasonable to ignore it
Kill: Details

- `int kill(pid_t pid, int sig)`
  - if `pid > 0`, signal `sig` sent to process `pid`
  - if `pid == 0`, signal `sig` sent to all processes in the caller’s process group
  - if `pid == -1`, signal `sig` sent to all processes in the system for which sender has permission to do so
  - if `pid < -1`, signal `sig` is sent to all processes in process group `-pid`
A Unix process is always in one of three states, as shown in the slide. When created, the process is put in the *active* state. When a process terminates, its parent might wish to find out and, perhaps, retrieve the exit value. Thus when a process terminates, some information about it must continue to exist until passed on to the parent (via the parent’s executing the *wait* or *waitpid* system call). So, when a process calls *exit*, it enters the *zombie* state and its exit code is kept around. Furthermore, the process’s ID is preserved so that it cannot be reused by a new process. Once the parent does its *wait*, the exit code and process ID are no longer needed, so the process completely disappears and is marked as being in the *non-existent* state — it doesn’t exist anymore. The process ID may now be reused by a new process.
Reaping: Zombie Elimination

- **Shell must call `waitpid` on each child**
  - easy for foreground processes
  - what about background?

```c
pid_t waitpid(pid_t pid, int *status, int options);
```
- **`pid` values:**
  - `< -1` any child process whose process group is `|pid` |
  - `-1` any child process
  - `0` any child process whose process group is that of caller
  - `> 0` process whose ID is equal to pid

- `wait(&status)` is equivalent to `waitpid(-1, &status, 0)`
(continued)

```c
pid_t waitpid(pid_t pid, int *status, int options);
- `options` are some combination of the following
  - `WNOHANG`
    - return immediately if no child has exited (returns 0)
  - `WUNTRACED`
    - also return if a child has stopped (been suspended)
  - `WCONTINUED`
    - also return if a child has been continued (resumed)
```
When to Call `waitpid`

- Shell reports status only when it is about to display its prompt
  - thus sufficient to check on background jobs just before displaying prompt
These are macros that can be applied to the status output argument of waitpid. Note that “terminated normally” means that the process terminated by calling exit. Otherwise it was terminated because it received a signal, which it neither ignored nor had a handler for, whose default action was termination.
This code might be executed by a shell just before it displays its prompt. The loop iterates through all child processes that have either terminated or stopped. The WNOHANG option causes `waitpid` to return 0 (rather than waiting) if the caller has extant children, but there are no more that have either terminated or stopped. If the caller has no children, then `waitpid` returns -1.
The init process is the common ancestor of all other processes in the system. It continues to exist while the system is running. It starts things going soon after the system is booted by forking child processes that exec the login code. These login processes then exec the shell. Note that, since only the parent may wait for a child’s termination, only parent-child relationships are maintained between processes.
When a process terminates, all of its children are inherited by the *init* process, process number 1.
 Signals, Fork, and Exec

```c
// set up signal handlers ...
if (fork() == 0) {
    // what happens if child gets signal?
    ...
    signal(SIGINT, SIG_IGN);
    signal(SIGFPE, handler);
    signal(SIGQUIT, SIG_DFL);
    execv("new prog", argv, NULL);
    // what happens if SIGINT, SIGFPE,
    // or SIGQUIT occur?
}
```

As makes sense, the signal-handling state of the parent is reproduced in the child.

What also makes sense is that, if a signal has been given a handler, then, after an exec, since the handler no longer exists, the signal reverts to default actions.

What at first glance makes less sense is that ignored signals stay ignored after an exec (of course, signals with default action stay that way after the exec). The intent is that this allows one to run a program protected from certain signals.
Signals and System Calls

- What happens if a signal occurs while a process is doing a system call?
  - deal with it at some safe point in the system-call code
  - usually just before the return to user mode
    » system call completes
    » signal handler is invoked
    » user code resumed at return from system call

It’s generally unsafe to interrupt the execution of a process while it’s in the midst of doing a system call. Thus if a signal is sent to a process while it’s in a system call, it’s usually not acted upon until just before the process returns from the system call back to the user code. At this point the handler (if any) is executed. When the handler returns, normal execution of the user process resumes and it returns from the system call.
Some system calls take a long time to execute. Such calls might be broken up into a sequence of discrete steps, where it’s safe to check for and handle signals after each step. For example, if a process is writing multiple megabytes of data to a file in a single call to `write`, the kernel code it executes will probably break this up into a number of smaller writes, done one at a time. After each write completes, it checks to see if any unmasked signals are pending.
What happens to the system call after the signal handling completes (assuming that the process has not been terminated)? The fact that a signal has occurred may be an indication that the system call shouldn't be resumed. For example, the signal may have been generated in an attempt to stop whatever the system call was doing. Thus, rather than resume the system call, the system call is effectively terminated and either it returns an indication of how far it progressed before being interrupted by the signal (it would return the number of bytes actually transferred, as opposed to the number of bytes requested) or, if it was interrupted before anything actually happened, it returns an error indication and sets *errno* to EINTR (meaning "interrupted system call").
If a non-lengthy system call is interrupted by a signal, the call fails and the error code EINTR is put in errno. The process then executes the signal handler and then returns to the point of the interrupt, which causes it to (finally) return from the system call with the error.
Quiz 2

```c
int ret;
char buf[128];

fillbuf(buf);

ret = write(1, buf, 128);
```

- The value of `ret` is:
  a) either -1 or 128
  b) either -1, 0, or 128
  c) any integer in the range [-1, 128]
The actions of some system calls are broken up into discrete steps. For example, if one issues a system call to write a megabyte of data to a file, the write will actually be split by the kernel into a number of smaller writes. If the system call is interrupted by a signal after the first component write has completed (but while there are still more to be done), it would not make sense for the call to return an error code: such an error return would convince the program that none of the write had completed and thus all should be redone. Instead, the call completes successfully: it returns the number of bytes actually transferred, the signal handler is invoked, and, on return from the signal handler, the user program receives the successful return from the (shortened) system call.
Asynchronous Signals (1)

```c
main( ) {
    void handler(int);
    signal(SIGINT, handler);

    ... /* long-running buggy code */
}

void handler(int sig) {
    ... /* clean up */
    exit(1);
}
```

Let’s look at some of the typical uses for asynchronous signals. Perhaps the most common is to force the termination of the process. When the user types control-C, the program should terminate. There might be a handler for the signal, so that the program can clean up and then terminate.
Here we are using a signal to send a request to a running program: when the user types control-C, the program prints out its current state and then continues execution. If synchronization is necessary so that the state is printed only when it is stable, it must be provided by appropriate settings of the signal mask.
In this example, both the mainline code and the signal handler call `myput`, which is similar to the standard-I/O routine `puts`. It’s possible that the signal invoking the handler occurs while the mainline code is in the midst of the call to `myput`. Could this be a problem?
Here’s the implementation of myput, used in the previous slide. What it does is copy the input string, one character at a time, into buf, which is of size BSIZE. Whenever a newline character is encountered, the current contents of buf up to that point are written to standard output, then subsequent characters are copied starting at the beginning of buf. Similarly, if buf is filled, its contents are written to standard output and subsequent characters are copied starting at the beginning of buf. Since buf is global, characters not written out may be written after the next call to myput. Note that printf (and other stdio routines) buffers output in a similar way.

The point of myput is to minimize the number of calls to write, so that write is called only when we have a complete line of text or when its buffer is full.

However, consider what happens if execution is in the middle of myput when a signal occurs, as in the previous slide. Among the numerous problem cases, suppose myput is interrupted just after pos is set to -1 (if the code hadn’t have been interrupted, pos would be soon incremented by 1). The signal handler now calls myput, which copies the first character of str into buf[pos], which, in this case, is buf[-1]. Thus the first character “misses” the buffer. At best it simply won’t be printed, but there might well be serious damage done to the program.
To deal with the problem on the previous page, we must arrange that signal handlers cannot destructively interfere with the operations of the mainline code. Unless we are willing to work with signal masks (which can be expensive), this means we must restrict what can be done inside a signal handler. Routines that, when called from a signal handler, do not interfere with the operation of the mainline code, no matter what that code is doing, are termed *async-signal safe*. The POSIX 1003.1 spec requires the routines shown in the slide to be async-signal safe.

Note that POSIX specifies only those routines that must be async-signal safe. Implementations may make other routines async-signal safe as well.
Quiz 3

Printf is not required to be async-signal safe.
Can it be implemented so that it is?

a) no, it's inherently not async-signal safe
b) yes, but it would be so complicated, it's not done
c) yes, it can be easily made async-signal safe