

Myerson's Lemma with Discrete Values

CS 1951k/2951Z

2020-02-19

Myerson's lemma, as presented, uses continuous variables; regardless, his results continue to hold when values are discretized.

1 Myerson with Discrete Values

Let $z_{i,1}, \dots, z_{i,M_i}$ be the M_i discretized values of bidder i , where $z_{i,j+1} \geq z_{i,j}$, for all $1 \leq j \leq M_i - 1$, and let $z_{i,M_i+1} = z_{i,M_i}$.

In the discrete setting, incentive compatibility and individual rationality take the following form:

$$z_{i,k}x_i(z_{i,k}, \mathbf{v}_{-i}) - p_i(z_{i,k}, \mathbf{v}_{-i}) \geq z_{i,k}x_i(z_{i,\ell}, \mathbf{v}_{-i}) - p_i(z_{i,\ell}, \mathbf{v}_{-i}), \quad \forall i \in N, \forall z_{i,k}, z_{i,\ell} \in T_i, \forall \mathbf{v}_{-i} \in T_{-i} \quad (1)$$

$$z_{i,k}x_i(z_{i,k}, \mathbf{v}_{-i}) - p_i(z_{i,k}, \mathbf{v}_{-i}) \geq 0, \quad \forall i \in N, \forall z_{i,k}, z_{i,\ell} \in T_i, \forall \mathbf{v}_{-i} \in T_{-i}. \quad (2)$$

Myerson's lemma also holds in the discrete setting, so that incentive compatibility and individual rationality can be replaced with a monotonic allocation rule and a corresponding payment rule. In the discrete setting, however, the payment rule is not unique! One possible payment rule is the following:

$$p_i(z_{i,\ell}, \mathbf{v}_{-i}) = z_{i,\ell}x_i(z_{i,\ell}, \mathbf{v}_{-i}) - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} (z_{i,j+1} - z_{i,j})x_i(z_{i,j}, \mathbf{v}_{-i}), \quad \forall i \in N, \forall z_{i,\ell} \in T_i, \forall \mathbf{v}_{-i} \in T_{-i}. \quad (3)$$

If one uses this equation as the payment formula, then the virtual value, $\psi_i(z_{i,k})$, of the k th type, $z_{i,k}$, is given by:

$$\psi_i(z_{i,k}) \equiv \psi_i(z_{i,k}, z_{i,k+1}) = z_{i,k} - (z_{i,k+1} - z_{i,k}) \left(\frac{1 - F_i(z_{i,k})}{f_i(z_{i,k})} \right). \quad (4)$$

2 Welfare-Maximization with Discrete Values

The discrete analog of the pointwise welfare-maximization algorithm is given in Algorithm 1. As in the continuous case, allocations are solved for first (using the greedy algorithm, which is monotone), based on which appropriate payments are constructed.

```

1: for all  $\mathbf{v} \in T$  do                                ▷ Find allocations
2:   for all  $i \in N$  do
3:      $x_i(v_i, \mathbf{v}_{-i}) \leftarrow 0$ 
4:   end for
5:   if  $\max_i \{v_i : i \in N\} > 0$  then
6:      $w(\mathbf{v}) \leftarrow \arg \max_i \{v_i : i \in N\}$ 
7:     for all  $i^* \in w(\mathbf{v})$  do
8:        $x_{i^*}(v_{i^*}, \mathbf{v}_{-i^*}) \leftarrow 1/|w(\mathbf{v})|$ 
9:     end for
10:  end if
11: end for
12: for all  $i \in N$  do                                ▷ Compute payments
13:   for all  $\mathbf{v} \in T$  do
14:     Find  $\ell$  such that  $z_{i,\ell} = v_i$ 
15:      $p_i(z_{i,\ell}, \mathbf{v}_{-i}) \leftarrow z_{i,\ell} x_i(z_{i,\ell}, \mathbf{v}_{-i}) - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} (z_{i,j+1} - z_{i,j}) x_i(z_{i,j}, \mathbf{v}_{-i})$ 
16:   end for
17: end for
18:  $S \leftarrow \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{v} \sim F} [v_i x_i(v_i, \mathbf{v}_{-i})]$       ▷ Total expected welfare
19:  $R \leftarrow \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{v} \sim F} [p_i(v_i, \mathbf{v}_{-i})]$       ▷ Total expected revenue
20: return  $S, R, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}$ 

```

Algorithm 1: Welfare Maximization.
This algorithm proceeds **pointwise**, one valuation vector at a time.