## CS195-7: Introduction to Cryptography

DUE: October 10, 2002

Midterm Exam

Instructor: Anna Lysyanskaya

Please note that you are not allowed to collaborate with others on this exam.

## Problem 1: One-way functions and permutations

Let  $f:\{0,1\}^*\mapsto\{0,1\}^*$  be a one-way function. Let  $p:\{0,1\}^*\mapsto\{0,1\}^*$  be a one-way permutation.

For each of the suggested implications below, prove or disprove that they are valid. That is to say, if an implication is valid, give a reduction. If it is not valid, give an example of a one-way function f and a one-way permutation p for which the implication is false. You may assume existence of one-way functions permutations.

Problem 2 from Problem Set 2 may serve as a helpful hint for a couple of these problems. **Example.** Does it follow that f(x) is a permutation?

**Solution.** It does not. Let f'(x) be a one-way function. Let f(x) = g(x) where g(x) is as defined in Problem 2a of problem set 2. Then f(x) is a one-way function (that's what is shown in that problem) but it cannot be a permutation because it ignores half of its input bits.

- (a) Does it follow that g(x) = f(f(x)) is a one-way function?
- (b) Does it follow that g(x) = p(p(x)) is a one-way permutation?
- (c) Does it follow that  $g(x) = f(x) \circ p(x)$  is a one-way function? (Recall that  $\circ$  denotes concatenation.)
  - (d) Does it follow that, on input p(x), one can efficiently compute f(x)?

## Problem 2: The Blum-Rabin trapdoor permutation

Recall the definition of a family of trapdoor permutations. A trapdoor permutation family consists of algorithms  $(G, M_{PK}, f_{PK}, f_{PK}^{-1})$ . G generates a member of the family, that is to say, a public key PK that allows to efficiently evaluate the permutation  $f_{PK}$ , and the secret key SK that allows to efficiently invert  $f_{PK}$ .  $M_{PK}$  is the algorithm that efficiently samples the domain of the permutation  $f_{PK}$ .

For example, in RSA, the procedure G generates the modulus n=pq and the exponent e, and sets PK=(n,e) and SK=d, where  $de\equiv 1 \mod \phi(n)$ . Furthermore,  $M_{(n,e)}=\mathbb{Z}_n^*$ ,  $f_{(n,e)}(x)=x^e \mod n$ , and  $f_{(n,e)}^{-1}(y)=y^d \mod n$ .

 $(G, M_{PK}, f_{PK}, f_{PK}^{-1})$  constitute a trapdoor permutation if  $f_{PK}$  is hard to invert. More formally, for all probabilistic polynomial-time adversaries  $\{A_k\}$ , there exists a negligible function  $\nu(k)$  such that

$$\Pr[(PK, SK) \leftarrow G(1^k); y \leftarrow M_{PK}; x \leftarrow A_k(y) : f_{PK}(x) = y] = \nu(k)$$

Consider the following collection of algorithms:

**Key generation** The procedure  $G(1^k)$  generates two k-bit primes, p and q, such that  $p \equiv q \equiv 3 \mod 4$ . It outputs PK = n = pq, and SK = (p,q). (Such a modulus n is called a  $Blum\ integer$ .)

**Domain** The domain  $M_n$  of the permutation  $f_n$  consists of all the quadratic residues modulo n. More formally,

$$M_n = \{x \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}_n^* \land \exists u \text{ such that } x \equiv u^2 \bmod n\}$$

To sample from the domain, pick  $u \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_n^*$ , and output  $x = u^2 \mod n$ .

Computing the function The permutation  $f_n$  is squaring:  $f_n(x) = x^2 \mod n$ .

**Inverting the function** To compute  $f_n^{-1}(y)$ , one must compute the value  $x \in M_n$  such that  $x^2 = y \mod n$ .

In this problem, you will prove that the algorithms given above constitute a family of trapdoor permutations.

- (a) Show that  $f_n$  is a permutation. (Hint: work modulo p and q first, and then combine using the Chinese remainder theorem.)
- (b) Suppose that p = 4m + 3 is a prime and that a is a quadratic residue modulo p. Prove that  $a^{m+1}$  is a square root of a modulo p.
- (c) Devise an efficient algorithm that, on input (p, q, y), computes  $x = f_{pq}^{-1}(y)$ , i.e., x such that  $x^2 = y \mod n$ , where n = pq is a Blum integer.
- (d) Devise an efficient algorithm that, on input (n, a, b), where  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$ ,  $a \neq \pm b \mod n$ , and  $a^2 \equiv b^2 \mod n$ , outputs a non-trivial divisor of n.
- (e) Let us assume that factoring Blum integers is infeasible. More precisely, assume that for all probabilistic polynomial-time adversaries  $\{A_k\}$ , there exists a negligible function  $\nu(k)$  such that

$$\Pr[(n,(p,q)) \leftarrow G(1^k); p \leftarrow A_k(n) \ : \ p \mid n \land 1$$

Show that under this assumption, it is infeasible to invert  $f_n$ . More precisely, show that for all probabilistic polynomial-time adversaries  $\{A_k\}$ , there exists a negligible funtion  $\nu'(k)$  such that

$$\Pr[(n,(p,q)) \leftarrow G(1^k); y \leftarrow M_n; x \leftarrow A_k(n) : x^2 = y \mod n] = \nu'(k)$$

(This fact is due to Michael Rabin.)