Ranky sez this is the new important

Ranky sez this is the new important

"paper" he's writters—can we should be adopt the Tips for Working Successfully in a Group

By Randy Pausch, for the Building Virtual Worlds course at Carnegie Mellon, Spring 1998

Meet people properly. It all starts with the introduction. Then, exchange contact information, and make sure you know how to pronounce everyone's names. Exchange phone #s, and find out what hours are acceptable to call during.

Find things you have in common. You can almost always find something in common with another person, and starting from that baseline, it's much easier to then address issues where you have differences. This is why cities like professional sports teams, which are socially galvanizing forces that cut across boundaries of race and wealth. If nothing else, you probably have in common things like the weather.

Make meeting conditions good. Have a large surface to write on, make sure the room is quiet and warm enough, and that there aren't lots of distractions. Make sure no one is hungry, cold, or tired. Meet over a meal if you can; food softens a meeting. That's why they "do lunch" in Hollywood.

Let everyone talk. Even if you think what they're saying is stupid. Cutting someone off is rude, and not worth whatever small time gain you might make. Don't finish someone's sentences for him or her; they can do it for themselves. And remember: talking louder or faster doesn't make your idea any better.

Check your egos at the door. When you discuss ideas, immediately label them and write them down. The labels should be descriptive of the idea, not the originator: "the troll bridge story," not "Jane's story."

Praise each other. Find something nice to say, even if it's a stretch. Even the worst of ideas has a silver lining inside it, if you just look hard enough. Focus on the good, praise it, and then raise any objections or concerns you have about the rest of it.

Put it in writing. Always write down who is responsible for what, by when. Be concrete. Arrange meetings by email, and establish accountability. Never assume that someone's roommate will deliver a phone message. Also, remember that "politics is when you have more than 2 people" – with that in mind, always CC (carbon copy) any piece of email within the group, or to me, to <u>all members</u> of the group. This rule should never be violated; don't try to guess what your group mates might or might not want to hear about.

Be open and honest. Talk with your group members if there's a problem, and talk with me if you think you need help. The whole point of this course is that it's tough to work across cultures. If we all go into it knowing that's an issue, we should be comfortable discussing problems when they arise -- after all, that's what this course is really about. Be forgiving when people make mistakes, but don't be afraid to raise the issues when they come up,

Avoid conflict at all costs. When stress occurs and tempers flare, take a short break. Clear your heads, apologize, and take another stab at it. Apologize for upsetting your peers, even if you think someone else was primarily at fault; the goal is to work together, not start a legal battle over whose transgressions were worse. It takes two to have an argument, so be the peacemaker.

Phrase alternatives as questions. Instead of "I think we should do A, not B," try "What if we did A, instead of B?" That allows people to offer comments, rather than defend one choice.

staff

ınd

łU-

re

Before beginning the book, it is strongly recommended that you take the following short test. The problem is the testing of the following program:

The program reads three integer values from a card. The three values are interpreted as representing the lengths of the sides of a triangle. The program prints a message that states whether the triangle is scalene, isosceles, or equilateral.

On a sheet of paper, write a set of test cases (i.e., specific sets of data) that you feel would adequately test this program. When you have completed this, turn the page to analyze your tests.

## 2 A SELF-ASSESSMENT TEST

The next step is an evaluation of the effectiveness of your testing. It turns out that this program is more difficult to write than it first appears to be. As a result, different versions of this program have been studied, and a list of common errors has been compiled. Evaluate your set of test cases by using it to answer the following questions. Give yourself one point for each "yes" answer.

1. Do you have a test case that represents a valid scalene triangle? (Note that test cases such as 1,2,3 and 2,5,10 do not warrant a "yes" answer, because there does not exist a triangle having such sides.)

2. Do you have a test case that represents a valid equilateral triangle?

3. Do you have a test case that represents a valid isosceles triangle? (A test case specifying 2,2,4 would not be counted.)

4. Do you have at least three test cases that represent valid isosceles triangles such that you have tried all three permutations of two equal sides (e.g., 3,3,4; 3,4,3; and 4,3,3)?

5. Do you have a test case in which one side has a zero value?

6. Do you have a test case in which one side has a negative value?

7. Do you have a test case with three integers greater than zero such that the sum of two of the numbers is equal to the third? (That is, if the program said that 1,2,3 represents a scalene triangle, it would contain a bug.)

8. Do you have at least three test cases in category 7 such that you have tried all three permutations where the length of one side is equal to the sum of the lengths of the other two sides (e.g., 1,2,3; 1,3,2; and 3,1,2)?

9. Do you have a test case with three integers greater than zero such that the sum of two of the numbers is less than the third (e.g., 1,2,4 or 12,15,30)?

10. Do you have at least three test cases in category 9 such that you have tried all three permutations (e.g., 1,2,4; 1,4,2; and 4,1,2)?

11. Do you have a test case in which all sides are 0 (i.e., 0,0,0)?

12. Do you have at least one test case specifying noninteger values?

13. Do you have at least one test case specifying the wrong number of values (e.g., two, rather than three, integers)?

14. For each test case, did you specify the expected output from the program in addition to the input values?

Of course, a set of test cases that satisfies the above conditions does not guarantee that all possible errors would be found,

but sincurred this policy have experienced and trate and earlies and a 100 even a

G

а

p

Sı

p

S(

VE

A١

S€

in

Wá

Th:

era

tha

po

Wi

on

fec

SiV

noi

und add

TE:

tion

geri

er-c

insr

nor

thro

fron

poin dura

THE

cont

niqu

ples.

prog

comi

the fo

self-a

the fir

"If the

one n

of the many

effectiveness of your more difficult to write ferent versions of this mmon errors has been by using it to answer e point for each "yes"

sents a valid scalene 1,2,3 and 2,5,10 do not here does not exist a

nts a valid equilateral

sents a valid isosceles would not be counted.) s that represent valid tried all three permu-4,3; and 4,3,3)? ide has a zero value? te side has a negative

integers greater than numbers is equal to the that 1,2,3 represents a 1g.)

in category 7 such that as where the length of engths of the other two

integers greater than e numbers is less than

in category 9 such that is (e.g., 1,2,4; 1,4,2; and

ides are 0 (i.e., 0,0,0)? specifying noninteger

e specifying the wrong an three, integers)? he expected output from values?

tisfies the above condierrors would be found, but since questions 1–13 represent errors that have actually occurred in different versions of this program, an adequate test of this program should expose these errors. If you are typical, you have done poorly on this test. As a point of reference, highly experienced professional programmers score, on the average, only 7.8 out of a possible 14. The point of the exercise is to illustrate that the testing of even a trivial program such as this is not an easy task. And if this is true, consider the difficulty of testing a 100,000-statement air-traffic-control system, a compiler, or even a mundane payroll program.

staff
h Inreangi. He
se at
fork
and
! in
chau-

3re

m-