ElectConnect

Analysis of Server Implementation: php v. C++ March 15, 2005

> Mike Black Xander Boutelle Catherine Hill Lars Johansson Alex Kossey Dan Silverman Pawel Wrotek

	php	C++
Interfa	ces	
	 Rich object oriented design possible Inheritance Interface classes Abstract classes Try/catch statements 	 Compiling/compile time checking Reinforces importance of solid interfaces Robust typing/return types More physical dependencies
	 Memory management Garbage collection Also allows manual memory management destructors Typing is less well defined 	
Debugg	ging/Profiling	
	 There are php specific debuggers and profilers Self described "gprof-like" http://dd.cron.ru/dbg/ http://gubed.mccabe.nu/ 	Well known, robust debugging tools
HTML	Generation	
	 Specifically designed to interact with HTML Allows HTML embedded in php (or vice versa) 	Would have to write a parser-like class to write HTML tags to a file Could easily add preview to creation phase
Efficie	ncy	
	 Proven for web applications Certainly could handle a project of this scope with no discernable speed issues 	Could be faster than php

	php	C++	
Appropriateness			
•	We evolved to this solution because we believe it's the most appropriate solution This is what is/would be used in the real world for a project like this	This is what the course description says we are supposed to use	
Long-Ter	m Maintenance		
•	Program would be more readable and editable o Especially with respect to HTML generation Less unnecessary abstraction, complication	• Future CS students may be more familiar with C++	
Server Iss	sues		
•	Non-persistence guarantees integrity of election results o Results live in database CIS is familiar and comfortable supporting php5 May require installing php5, mySQL on a department machine for ease of testing	 Could implement a persistent or non-persistent system CIS can support C++ on a different server They have less experience with C++ and less technology in place for supporting it 	