## CSCI-1680 Transport Layer I

**Rodrigo Fonseca** 



Based partly on lecture notes by David Mazières, Phil Levis, John Jannotti

## Administrivia

#### • Homework 2 out since Sunday

- Due Friday (hours flexible): you get graded before midterm
- No late days until Monday at 4pm (free extension)
- No grade after that! (We release the solutions before the midterm)
- Studying for the midterm
  - Similar questions to homeworks, end-of-chapter problems in the book



# Today

- **IPv6** (see slides from previous class)
- Transport Layer
  - UDP
  - TCP Intro



## **Transport Layer**



- Transport protocols sit on top of network layer
- Problem solved: communication among processes
  - Application-level multiplexing ("ports")
  - Error detection, reliability, etc.



## UDP – User Datagram Protocol

- Unreliable, unordered datagram service
- Adds multiplexing, checksum
- End points identified by ports
  - Scope is an IP address (interface)
- Checksum aids in error detection



## **UDP Header**





# **UDP Checksum**

#### • Uses the same algorithm as the IP checksum

- Set Checksum field to 0
- Sum all 16-bit words, adding any carry bits to the LSB
- Flip bits to get checksum (except 0xffff->0xffff)
- To check: sum whole packet, including sum, should get 0xffff
- How many errors?
  - Catches any 1-bit error
  - Not all 2-bit errors



Optional in IPv4: not checked if value is 0

### Pseudo Header



- UDP Checksum is computer over *pseudo-header* prepended to the UDP header
  - For IPv4: IP Source, IP Dest, Protocol (=17), plus
    UDP length
- What does this give us?
- What is a problem with this?
  - Is UDP a layer on top of IP?



## Next Problem: Reliability

• Review: reliability on the link layer

Problem	Mechanism
Dropped Packets	Acknowledgments + Timeout
Duplicate Packets	Sequence Numbers
Packets out of order	Receiver Window
Keeping the pipe full	Sliding Window (Pipelining)

• Single link: things were easy... ③



## **Transport Layer Reliability**

#### • Extra difficulties

- Multiple hosts
- Multiple hops
- Multiple potential paths

#### • Need for connection establishment, tear down

- Analogy: dialing a number versus a direct line
- Varying RTTs
  - Both across connections and *during* a connection
  - Why do they vary? What do they influence?



## Extra Difficulties (cont.)

- Out of order packets
  - Not only because of drops/retransmissions
  - Can get very old packets (up to 120s), must not get confused
- Unknown resources at other end
  - Must be able to discover receiver buffer: flow control
- Unknown resources in the network
  - Should not overload the network
  - But should use as much as safely possible
  - Congestion Control (next class)



# **TCP – Transmission Control Protocol**



- Service model: "reliable, connection oriented, full duplex byte stream"
  - Endpoints: <IP Address, Port>
- Flow control
  - If one end stops reading, writes at other eventually stop/fail
- Congestion control
  - Keeps sender from overloading the network (next lecture)

# ТСР

- Specification
  - RFC 793 (1981), RFC 1222 (1989, some corrections), RFC 5681 (2009, congestion control), ...
- Was born coupled with IP, later factored out
  - We talked about this, don't always need everything!
- End-to-end protocol
  - Minimal assumptions on the network
  - All mechanisms run on the end points
- Alternative idea:
  - Provide reliability, flow control, etc, link-by-link
  - Does it work?



### **TCP Header**





## **Header Fields**

- Ports: multiplexing
- Sequence number
  - Correspond to *bytes*, not packets!
- Acknowledgment Number
  - Next expected sequence number
- Window: willing to receive
  - Lets receiver limit SWS (even to 0) for flow control
- Data Offset: # of 4 byte header + option bytes
- Flags, Checksum, Urgent Pointer



## **Header Flags**

- URG: whether there is urgent data
- ACK: ack no. valid (all but first segment)
- PSH: push data to the application immediately
- RST: reset connection
- SYN: synchronize, establishes connection
- FIN: close connection





- Three-way handshake
  - Two sides agree on respective initial sequence nums
- If no one is listening on port: server sends RST
- If server is overloaded: ignore SYN
- If no SYN-ACK: retry, timeout

### **Connection Termination**

#### • FIN bit says no more data to send

- Caused by close or shutdown
- Both sides must send FIN to close a connection

#### • Typical close





## Summary of TCP States



# TIME\_WAIT

- Why do you have to wait for 2MSL in TIME\_WAIT?
  - What if last ack is severely delayed, AND
  - Same port pair is immediately reused for a new connection?

#### • Solution: active closer goes into TIME\_WAIT

- Waits for 2MSL (Maximum Segment Lifetime)
- Can be problematic for active servers
  - OS has too many sockets in TIME\_WAIT, can accept less connections
    - Hack: send RST and delete socket, SO\_LINGER = 0
  - OS won't let you re-start server because port in use
    - SO\_REUSEADDR lets you rebind



### How about some data?



- Next Class: sliding window revisited
  - Used for reliability and in-order delivery (acks, timeouts, sequence numbers, buffers)
  - New: *flow control*, by means of receiver Window size
  - New: congestion control, sender intelligently sets SWS



## **Coming Up**

- IP handins: please pay attention to the issues we discussed today, good luck!
- Next week: Transport Layer

