CSCI-1680 Layering and Encapsulation

Rodrigo Fonseca



Based partly on lecture notes by David Mazières, Phil Levis, John Jannotti

Today

• Review

Switching, Multiplexing

- Layering and Encapsulation
- Intro to IP, TCP, UDP
- Extra material: sockets primer



Review: Multiplexing



• What to do when multiple flows must share a link?



Analogy

• You are running a restaurant. Do you take free reservations? Do you allow walk-ins?



Fixed Allocations

• Some notion of partitioning

– Frequency, channels, time, ...



Fixed Allocation

- Guaranteed allocation
 - Great for users, predictable!
- Low space overhead
 - Data needs no metadata (e.g., destination, owner)
- Easy to reason about
- Overload: all or nothing
 - No graceful degradation
- Waste: allocate for peak, waste for less than peak
- Set up time
 - E.g., set up or change schedule



Statistical Multiplexing

- Break information in finite chunks: *packets*
- Each packet forwarded independently
 - Must add metadata to each packet
- Properties
 - High utilization (if there is demand)
 - Very flexible
 - Can be unfair
 - Can have unpredictable delays (queues)





Switching

- How to communicate over multiple hops?
- Circuit switching vs Packet switching
 - Circuits reserve capacity along the entire path
 - Packets are switched independently



Circuit Switching

(Fixed Allocation over Multiple Hops)

Guaranteed allocation

- Great for users, predictable!
- Low space overhead
 - Data needs no metadata (e.g., destination, owner)
- Easy to reason about
- Overload: all or nothing
 - No graceful degradation
- Waste: allocate for peak, waste for less than peak
- Set up time
 - E.g., set up or change schedule, establish circuit along path
- Failures: must re-establish connection
 - For any failures along path



Packet Switching

(Statistical Multiplexing over Multiple Hops)

- Each packet forwarded independently
 - Must add metadata to each packet
- Properties
 - High utilization (if there is demand)
 - Very flexible
 - Can be unfair
 - Can have unpredictable delays (queues)
 - Different paths for each packet



A Taxonomy of networks









Traceroute map of the Internet, ~5 million edges, circa 2003. opte.org

Managing Complexity

- Very large number of computers
- Incredible variety of technologies
 - Each with very different constraints
- No single administrative entity
- Evolving demands, protocols, applications
 - Each with very different requirements!
- How do we make sense of all this?



Layering



• Separation of concerns

- Break problem into separate parts
- Solve each one independently
- Tie together through common interfaces: abstraction
- Encapsulate data from the layer above inside data from the layer below
- Allow independent evolution



Analogy to Delivering a Letter



We did this drawing in class, for delivering a letter from you to a friend in Japan. There are many layers, addressing schemes, well-defined interfaces, independent Evolution, abstraction. A protocol is the communication between entities in the same Level (e.g., you and your friend). Layers use the services of lower layers to provide services to upper layers. (Of course, this is much more fun when we are drawing live, hope this starts to get the idea across).

Layers

- Application what the users sees, *e.g.*, HTTP
- Presentation crypto, conversion between representations
- Session can tie together multiple streams (*e.g.*, audio & video)
- Transport demultiplexes, provides reliability, flow and congestion control
- Network sends *packets*, using *routing*
- Data Link sends *frames*, handles media access
- Physical sends individual bits



OSI Reference Model





Layers, Services, Protocols





Protocols

- What do you need to communicate?
 - Definition of message formats
 - Definition of the semantics of messages
 - Definition of valid sequences of messages
 - Including valid timings
- Also, who do you talk to? ...



Naming/Addressing

- Each node typically has a unique* name
 - When that name also tells you how to get to the node, it is called an *address*
- Each layer can have its own naming/addressing
- *Routing* is the process of finding a path to the destination
 - In packet switched networks, each packet must have a destination address
 - For circuit switched, use address to set up circuit
- Special addresses can exist for broadcast/multicast/anycast



* within the relevant scope

Layers, Services, Protocols





Challenge

- Decide on how to factor the problem
 - What services at which layer?
 - What to leave out?
 - More on this later (End-to-end principle)

• For example:

- IP offers pretty crappy service, even on top of reliable links... why?
- TCP: offers reliable, in-order, no-duplicates service.
 Why would you want UDP?



IP as the Narrow Waist



- Many applications protocols on top of UDP & TCP
- IP works over many types of networks
- This is the "Hourglass" architecture of the Internet.





Network Layer: Internet Protocol (IP)

- Used by most computer networks today
 - Runs *over* a variety of physical networks, can connect Ethernet, wireless, modem lines, etc.
- Every host has a unique 4-byte IP address (IPv4)
 - − *E.g.*, www.cs.brown.edu \rightarrow 128.148.32.110
 - The *network* knows how to route a packet to any address

• Need more to build something like the Web

- Need naming (DNS)
- Interface for browser and server software
- Need demultiplexing within a host: which packets are for web browser, Skype, or the mail program?



Inter-process Communication



- Talking from host to host is great, but we want abstraction of inter-process communication
- Solution: encapsulate another protocol within IP



Transport: UDP and TCP

- UDP and TCP most popular protocols on IP
 - Both use 16-bit *port* number & 32-bit IP address
 - Applications *bind* a port & receive traffic on that port
- UDP User (unreliable) Datagram Protocol
 - Exposes packet-switched nature of Internet
 - Adds multiplexing on top of IP
 - Sent packets may be dropped, reordered, even duplicated (but there is corruption protection)
- TCP Transmission Control Protocol
 - Provides illusion of reliable 'pipe' or 'stream' between two processes anywhere on the network
 - Handles congestion and flow control



Uses of TCP

Most applications use TCP

- Easier to program (reliability is convenient)
- Automatically avoids congestion (don't need to worry about taking down the network
- Servers typically listen on well-know ports:
 - SSH: 22
 - SMTP (email): 25
 - Finger: 79
 - HTTP (web): 80



Transport: UDP and TCP

- UDP and TCP most popular protocols on IP
 - Both use 16-bit *port* number & 32-bit IP address
 - Applications *bind* a port & receive traffic on that port
- UDP User (unreliable) Datagram Protocol
 - Exposes packet-switched nature of Internet
 - Adds multiplexing on top of IP
 - Sent packets may be dropped, reordered, even duplicated (but there is corruption protection)
- TCP Transmission Control Protocol
 - Provides illusion of reliable 'pipe' or 'stream' between two processes anywhere on the network
 - Handles congestion and flow control



Internet Layering



- Strict layering not required
 - TCP/UDP "cheat" to detect certain errors in IP-level information like address
 - Overall, allows evolution, experimentation



One more thing...

- Layering defines interfaces well
 - What if I get an Ethernet frame, and send it as the payload of an IP packet across the world?
- Layering can be recursive
 - Each layer agnostic to payload!
- Many examples
 - Tunnels: e.g.,
 VXLAN is ETH over UDP (over IP over ETH
 - again...)
 - Our IP assignment: IP on top of UDP "links"



Example



Number	Header Format										
	Headers Add	ed after]	Mirroring	Mirrored Headers							
1	ETHERNET	IPV4	ERSPAN	ETHERNET						IPV4	TCP
2	ETHERNET	IPV4	ERSPAN	ETHERNET					802.1Q	IPV4	TCP
3	ETHERNET	IPV4	ERSPAN	ETHERNET		IPV4	UDP	VXLAN	ETHERNET	IPV4	TCP
4	ETHERNET	IPV4	GRE			IPV4	UDP	VXLAN	ETHERNET	IPV4	TCP
5	ETHERNET	IPV4	ERSPAN	ETHERNET	IPV4	IPV4	UDP	VXLAN	ETHERNET	IPV4	TCP
6	ETHERNET	IPV4	GRE		IPV4	IPV4	UDP	VXLAN	ETHERNET	IPV4	TCP
\overline{O}	ETHERNET	IPV4	ERSPAN	ETHERNET		IPV4	GRE		ETHERNET	IPV4	TCP
8	ETHERNET	IPV4	GRE			IPV4	(GRE	ETHERNET	IPV4	TCP

* This is just an example, do not worry about the details, or the specific protocols!



From: Yu et al., A General, Easy to Program and Scalable Framework for Analyzing In-network Packet Traces, NSDI 2019

Coming Up

- Next class: Physical Layer
- Thu 13th: Snowcast milestones: last commit
 - Let us know if you don't have a slot!
- Pushed some dates:
 - Snowcast now due Monday



• We didn't cover these in class, but these concepts about the socket API are useful for, and exercised by, the Snowcast assignment!



Using TCP/IP

- How can applications use the network?
- Sockets API.
 - Originally from BSD, widely implemented (*BSD, Linux, Mac OS X, Windows, ...)
 - Important do know and do once
 - Higher-level APIs build on them
- After basic setup, much like files



Sockets: Communication Between Machines

- Network sockets are file descriptors too
- Datagram sockets: unreliable message delivery
 - With IP, gives you UDP
 - Send atomic messages, which may be reordered or lost
 - Special system calls to read/write: send/recv
- Stream sockets: bi-directional pipes
 - With IP, gives you TCP
 - Bytes written on one end read on another
 - Reads may not return full amount requested, must re-read



System calls for using TCP

<u>Client</u>

<u>Server</u>

socket - make socket
bind - assign address, port
listen - listen for clients

socket - make socket

bind* - assign address

connect - connect to listening socket

accept - accept connection



This call to bind is optional, connect can choose address & port.

Socket Naming

- Recall how TCP & UDP name communication endpoints
 - IP address specifies host (128.148.32.110)
 - 16-bit port number demultiplexes within host
 - Well-known services listen on standard ports (*e.g.* ssh 22, http – 80, mail – 25, see /etc/services for list)
 - Clients connect from arbitrary ports to well known ports
- A connection is named by 5 components
 - Protocol, local IP, local port, remote IP, remote port
 - TCP requires connected sockets, but not UDP



Dealing with Address Types

- All values in network byte order (Big Endian)
 - htonl(), htons(): host to network, 32 and 16 bits
 - ntohl(), ntohs(): network to host, 32 and 16 bits
 - Remember to always convert!
- All address types begin with family
 - sa_family in sockaddr tells you actual type
- Not all addresses are the same size
 - e.g., struct sockaddr_in6 is typically 28 bytes, yet generic struct sockaddr is only 16 bytes
 - So most calls require passing around socket length



- New sockaddr_storage is big enough

Client Skeleton (IPv4)

```
struct sockaddr_in {
    short sin_family; /* = AF_INET */
    u_short sin_port; /* = htons (PORT) */
    struct in_addr sin_addr;
    char sin_zero[8];
```

} sin;

```
int s = socket (AF_INET, SOCK_STREAM, 0);
bzero (&sin, sizeof (sin));
sin.sin_family = AF_INET;
sin.sin_port = htons (13); /* daytime port */
sin.sin_addr.s_addr = htonl (IP_ADDRESS);
connect (s, (sockaddr *) &sin, sizeof (sin));
while ((n = read (s, buf, sizeof (buf))) > 0)
write (1, buf, n);
```



Server Skeleton (IPv4)

```
int s = socket (AF_INET, SOCK_STREAM, 0);
struct sockaddr_in sin;
bzero (&sin, sizeof (sin));
sin.sin_family = AF_INET;
sin.sin_port = htons (9999);
sin.sin_addr.s_addr = htonl (INADDR_ANY);
bind (s, (struct sockaddr *) &sin, sizeof (sin));
listen (s, 5);
```

```
for (;;) {
  socklen_t len = sizeof (sin);
  int cfd = accept (s, (struct sockaddr *) &sin, &len);
  /* cfd is new connection; you never read/write s */
  do_something_with (cfd);
  close (cfd);
```



Using UDP

- Call socket with SOCK_DGRAM, bind as before
- New calls for sending/receiving individual packets
 - sendto(int s, const void *msg, int len, int flags, const struct sockaddr *to, socklen t tolen);
 - recvfrom(int s, void *buf, int len, int flags, struct sockaddr *from, socklen t *fromlen);
 - Must send/get peer address with each packet
- Example: udpecho.c
- Can use UDP in connected mode (Why?)
 - connect assigns remote address
 - send/recv syscalls, like sendto/recvfrom w/o last two arguments



Uses of UDP Connected Sockets

• Kernel demultiplexes packets based on port

Can have different processes getting UDP packets from different peers

• Feedback based on ICMP messages (future lecture)

- Say no process has bound UDP port you sent packet to
- Server sends port unreachable message, but you will only receive it when using connected socket



Serving Multiple Clients

- A server may block when talking to a client
 - Read or write of a socket connected to a slow client can block
 - Server may be busy with CPU
 - Server might be blocked waiting for disk I/O
- Concurrency through multiple processes
 - Accept, fork, close in parent; child services request
- Advantages of one process per client
 - Don't block on slow clients
 - May use multiple cores
 - Can keep disk queues full for disk-heavy workloads



Threads

- One process per client has disadvantages:
 - High overhead fork + exit $\sim 100 \mu sec$
 - Hard to share state across clients
 - Maximum number of processes limited
- Can use threads for concurrency
 - Data races and deadlocks make programming tricky
 - Must allocate one stack per request
 - Many thread implementations block on some I/O or have heavy thread-switch overhead

Rough equivalents to fork(), waitpid(), exit(),
 kill(), plus locking primitives.



Non-blocking I/O

fcntl sets O_NONBLOCK flag on descriptor

int n;

if ((n = fcntl(s, F_GETFL)) >= 0)

fcntl(s, F_SETFL, n|O_NONBLOCK);

• Non-blocking semantics of system calls:

- read immediately returns -1 with errno EAGAIN if no data
- write may not write all data, or may return EAGAIN
- connect may fail with EINPROGRESS (or may succeed, or may fail with a real error like ECONNREFUSED)
- accept may fail with EAGAIN or EWOULDBLOCK if no connections present to be accepted



How do you know when to read/write?

struct timeval {

long tv_sec; /* seconds */
long tv_usec; /* and microseconds */
};

• Entire program runs in an *event loop*



Event-driven servers

• Quite different from processes/threads

- Race conditions, deadlocks rare
- Often more efficient
- But...
 - Unusual programming model
 - Sometimes difficult to avoid blocking
 - Scaling to more CPUs is more complex

