CS145: Probability & Computing Lecture 8: Expected value, Markov inequality, Variance, Chebyshev inequality



Figure credits: Bertsekas & Tsitsiklis, **Introduction to Probability**, 2008 Pitman, **Probability**, 1999

CS145: Lecture 7 Outline

- Expectation and Payoff
- Markov's Inequality
- ➤ Variance
- Chebyshev's Inequality

Probability Expectation and Payoff

Consider the following game:

You pay **\$C** and receive **\$1** if a (fair) dice role gives **6**, and **0** otherwise.

For what values of C would you play the game?

A rational person will play for any $C \le 1/6$.

Probability Expectation and Payoff

> The *expectation* or *expected value* of a discrete random variable is:

$$E[X] = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} x p_X(x)$$

Consider the following game:

You pay **\$C** and receive **\$i** if a fair dice role gives **i**.

For what values of **C** will you pay the game?

A rational person will play for any $C \le E[X] = 3.5$.

Expectation is not Enough

A rational person considers more than just the expectation

Which of these games do you prefer:

- 1. You pay \$1 and receive \$2 with probability 1/2
- 2. You pay \$1 and receive \$1,000 with probability $\frac{1}{1,000}$
- 3. You pay \$5 and receive \$1,000,000 with probability $\frac{1}{1,000,000}$

Expectation is not Enough

Which job would you prefer?

- 1. A job that pays \$150,000 a year
- A job that pays \$100,000 a year plus a bonus of \$100,000 with probability ¹/₂
- 3. A job that pays \$70,000 with equity option of \$1,000,000 with probability 0.01

The deviation from the expectation captures the risk

[Variance, standard deviation, value at risk, large deviation bounds...]

CS145: Lecture 7 Outline

Expectation and Payoff

> Markov's Inequality, Variance, Chebyshev's Inequality

The Most Basic Deviation Bound

Theorem

[Markov Inequality] For any non-negative random variable, and for all a > 0, $Pr(X \ge a) \le \frac{E[X]}{2}$.

Fix some constant *a*>0, and define

$$Y_a = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } X < a, \\ a, & \text{if } X \ge a. \end{cases}$$

$$aP(X \ge a) = E[Y_a] \le E[X]$$



Markov's Inequality

Theorem

[Markov Inequality] For any non-negative random variable, and for all a > 0, F[X]

 $Pr(X \ge a) \le \frac{E[X]}{a}.$

Fix some constant *a*>0, and define

 $Y_a = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } X < a, \\ a, & \text{if } X \ge a. \end{cases}$

- No such inequality would hold if X could take negative values. Why?
- If a < E[X], Markov's inequality is vacuous, but no better bound is possible. Why?



Examples

We flip 100 fair coins. X is the number of heads. E[X] = 50

$$Prob(X \ge 75) \le \frac{50}{75} = \frac{2}{3}.$$

We flip a fair coin till the first head. Y is the number of flips.

$$E[Y] = \sum_{i \ge 1} Prob(Y \ge i) = \sum_{i \ge 1} \frac{1}{2^{i-1}} = 2$$
$$Prob(Y \ge 4) \le \frac{2}{4} = \frac{1}{2}$$

Variance

- > Reminder. The expectation or expected value of a random variable $E[X] = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} x p_X(x)$
- The variance is the expected squared deviation of a random variable from its mean (the following definitions are equivalent):

$$\operatorname{Var}[X] = E[(X - E[X])^2] = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} (x - E[X])^2 p_X(x)$$
$$\operatorname{Var}[X] = E[X^2] - E[X]^2 = \left[\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} x^2 p_X(x)\right] - \left[\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} x p_X(x)\right]^2$$

> The standard deviation is the square root of the variance: $\sigma_X = \text{Std}[X] = \sqrt{\text{Var}[X]}$

Bernoulli Distribution

> A *Bernoulli* or *indicator* random variable X has one parameter *p*:

$$p_X(1) = p, \qquad p_X(0) = 1 - p, \qquad \mathcal{X} = \{0, 1\}$$

> For an indicator variable, expected values are the probabilities:

$$E[X] = p$$

> Variance of Bernoulli distribution: $Var[X] = E\left[\left(X-p\right)^2\right] = p(1-p)$

- > Fair coin (p=0.5) has largest variance
- Coins that always come up heads (p=1.0), or always come up tails (p=0.0), have variance 0



Sums of Independent Variables

If Z=X+Y and random variables X and Y are independent, we have
 E[Z] = E[X] + E[Y]
 Var[Z] = Var[X] + Var[Y]
 For any variables X, Y.
 Only for independent X, Y.

➢ Interpretation: Adding independent variables increases variance Var[Z] ≥ Var[X] and Var[Z] ≥ Var[Y]

Examples

Let X and Y be two Bernoulli r.v. such that P(X=Y)=1, Z=X+Y $P_{XY} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \hline (1-p)^2 & p(1-p) \\ 1 & P(1-p) & p^2 \end{pmatrix} Var[Z] = E[(Z-2p)^2] \\ = p^2(2-2p)^2 + 2(1-p)p(1-2p)^2 + (-2P)^2(1-P)^2 \\ = p^2(2-2p)^2 + 2(1-p)p(1-2p)^2 + (-2P)^2(1-P)^2 \\ = p(1-p)[4p(1-p)+2(1-2p)^2 + 4p(1-p)] \\ = 2p(1-P) = Var[X] + Var[Y]$

$$P_{XY} = \begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 1 \\ P_{XY} = \begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 1 \\ \hline 1 - p & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & p \end{array} \quad Var[Z] = E[(Z - 2p)^2] = (2 - 2p)^2 p + (1 - p)(-2p)^2 \\ = (1 - p)p(4(1 - p) + 4p) = (1 - p)p \\ = Var[X] = Var[Y] \end{array}$$

Sums of Independent Variables

If Z=X+Y and random variables X and Y are independent, we have
 E[Z] = E[X] + E[Y] Var[Z] = Var[X] + Var[Y]
 For any variables X, Y.
 Only for independent X, Y.

➤ The standard deviation of a sum of independent variables is then $\sigma_Z = \sqrt{\sigma_X^2 + \sigma_Y^2} \qquad \sigma_X = \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}[X]}, \sigma_Y = \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}[Y]}, \sigma_Z = \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}[Z]}$

➢ Identity used in proof: If X and Y are *independent* random variables, $E[XY] = E[X]E[Y] \quad \text{if} \quad p_{XY}(x,y) = p_X(x)p_Y(y)$ *This equality does not hold for general, dependent random variables.*

Some Math

Assume that X and Y are independent random variable.

$$E[XY] = \sum_{x} \sum_{y} xy Prob(X = x, Y = y)$$

=
$$\sum_{x} \sum_{y} xy Prob(X = x) Prob(Y = y)$$

=
$$\sum_{x} x Prob(X = x) \left(\sum_{y} y Prob(Y = y) \right)$$

=
$$E[X]E[Y]$$

More Math

Assume that X and Y are independent random variables,

$$Var[X + Y] = E[(X + Y)^{2}] - (E[X] + Y[Y])^{2}$$

= $E[X^{2}] + E[Y^{2}] + 2E[XY] - (E[X])^{2} - (E[Y])^{2} - 2E[X]E[Y]$
= $Var[X] + Var[Y]$

Binomial Probability Distribution

- Suppose you flip *n* coins with bias *p*, count number of heads
- A binomial random variable X has parameters n, p:

$$p_X(k) = \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}$$

$$\mathcal{X} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

 X_i is a Bernoulli variable indicating whether toss *i* comes up heads,

because tosses are *independent*:

 $\mu = E(X) = 10$ $\sigma = SD(X) = 2.236$ $\sigma^2 = Var(X) = 5$

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} \qquad E[X] = np \quad Var[X] = np(1-p)$$

$$n = 20, p = 0.5$$

Binomial Probability Distribution

- Suppose you flip *n* coins with bias *p*, count number of heads
- A binomial random variable X has parameters n, p:

$$p_X(k) = \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}$$

$$\mathcal{X} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

 X_i is a Bernoulli variable indicating whether toss *i* comes up heads,

because tosses are independent:

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} \qquad E[X] = np \quad \operatorname{Var}[X] = np(1-p)$$

$$n = 20, p = 0.2$$

 $\mu = E(X) = 4$ $\sigma = SD(X) = 1.789$ $\sigma^2 = Var(X) = 3.2$

Binomial Probability Distribution

- Suppose you flip *n* coins with bias *p*, count number of heads
- A binomial random variable X has parameters n, p:

$$p_X(k) = \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}$$

$$\mathcal{X} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

 X_i is a Bernoulli variable indicating whether toss *i* comes up heads,

because tosses are *independent*:

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \quad E[X] = np \quad \text{Var}[X] = np(1-p)$$

$$n = 100, p = 0.05$$

$$0.06 \quad 0.06 \quad 0.05$$

$$0.00 \quad 0.02 \quad 0.00 \quad 0$$

Variance: What comes next?

- Expectation and Variance
- Markov's Inequality
- ➤ Variance
- Chebyshev's Inequality

Chebyshev's Inequality

Theorem

For any random variable X, and any a > 0,

$$Pr(|X - E[X]| \ge a) \le \frac{Var[X]}{a^2}.$$

Proof.

$$Pr(|X - E[X]| \ge a) = Pr((X - E[X])^2 \ge a^2)$$

By Markov inequality

$$\Pr((X - E[X])^2 \ge a^2) \le \frac{E[(X - E[X])^2]}{a^2}$$

$$=\frac{Var[X]}{a^2}$$

Chebyshev's Inequality

Theorem

For any random variable X, and any a > 0,

$$\Pr(|X - E[X]| \ge a) \le rac{Var[X]}{a^2}.$$

> Another way of parameterizing Chebyshev's inequality:

$$\mu = E[X], \qquad \sigma = \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}[X]}$$
$$P(|X - \mu| \ge k\sigma) \le \frac{1}{k^2}$$

Chebyshev bound is vacuous (above one) for events less than one standard deviation from the mean. But this could be likely!



Chebyshev's Inequality



> Another way of parameterizing Chebyshev's inequality:

$$\mu = E[X], \qquad \sigma = \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}[X]}$$
$$P(|X - \mu| \ge k\sigma) \le \frac{1}{k^2}$$

Chebyshev bound is vacuous (above one) for events less than one standard deviation from the mean. But this could be likely!



Markov vs. Chebyshev's Inequalities

We flip a fair coin n times. What is the probability of getting more than 3n/4 heads?

$$X =$$
 number of heads. $E[X] = n/2, Var[X] = n/4.$

Markov's Inequality:

$$Pr\{X \ge \frac{3n}{4}\} \le \frac{E[X]}{3n/4} \le \frac{n/2}{3n/4} = \frac{2}{3}$$

Chebyshev's Inequality: $Pr\{X \ge \frac{3n}{4}\} \le Pr\{|X - \frac{n}{2}| \ge \frac{n}{4}\} \le \frac{Var[X]}{(n/4)^2} = \frac{n/4}{n^2/16} = \frac{4}{n}$

The Weak Law of Large Numbers

Theorem

Let $x_1, ..., x_n$ be independent, identically distributed random variables with finite mean, $E[x_i] = \mu$. For any $\epsilon > 0$

$$Prob\{\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_{i}-\mu\right|\geq\epsilon\}\rightarrow0$$

as $n \to \infty$.

The (Weak) Law of Large Numbers

$$X_1, X_2, \dots \text{ i.i.d.} \qquad M_n = \frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n} \qquad \begin{array}{l} \text{sample mean or empirical mean} \\ \text{finite mean } \mu \text{ and variance } \sigma^2 \qquad M_n = \frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n} \qquad \begin{array}{l} \text{sample mean or empirical mean} \\ \text{empirical mean} \\ E[M_n] = \frac{\mathbf{E}[X_1] + \dots + \mathbf{E}[X_n]}{n} = \frac{n\mu}{n} = \mu, \\ \text{Var}[M_n] = \frac{\text{var}(X_1 + \dots + X_n)}{n^2} = \frac{\text{var}(X_1) + \dots + \text{var}(X_n)}{n^2} = \frac{n\sigma^2}{n^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}. \\ \text{Chebyshev's inequality bounds distance between the true mean and the "empirical" or "sample" mean: \\ \text{Var}[M_n] = \frac{\alpha}{n} = \frac$$

$$\mathbf{P}(|M_n - \mu| \ge \epsilon) \le \frac{\operatorname{Var}(M_n)}{\epsilon^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{n\epsilon^2}$$

> The empirical mean converges to the true mean in probability

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P(|M_n - \mu| \ge \epsilon) = 0$$

> True even if variance not finite, but proof more challenging.