

## Online bribery

In the online bribery problem, there is a hidden value, a positive integer  $x$ , and you ask a sequence of questions  $q_1, q_2, \dots$  until you find one that is greater than  $x$ . What you pay is the sum of your bids,  $\sum_i q_i$ . If you knew everything ahead of time, you would know  $x$  and simply ask  $x$ . Thus the competitive ratio objective is to design an algorithm minimizing  $\sum q_i/x$ .

The doubling algorithm asks the sequence of questions  $q_i = 2^i$ .

**Theorem 1** *The doubling algorithm is a 2-approximation. This is optimal for deterministic algorithms.*

If you stop with  $q_n$ , then your cost is about  $2q_n$  and  $x$  is at least  $q_{n-1} = q_n/2$ , hence the 4-approximation.

For the lower bound, consider any sequence and assume that it's an  $a$  approximation for  $a < 4$ . Let  $s_n = \sum q_i$ ,  $y_n = s_{n+1}/s_n$ . If the adversary picks  $x$  just above  $q_n$ , we must have  $s_{n+1}/q_n < a$  for all  $n$ . Rewrite, do the algebra, and deduce that at some point  $s_{n+1} < s_n$ , a contradiction. Hence the theorem.

Here is a randomized algorithm: pick a random number  $u$  drawn uniformly in  $[0, 1]$ , and let  $q_i = 2^{i+u}$  (rounded). To analyze it, observe that for any  $x$ , the competitive ratio will be  $2q_n/x$ . But the fractional part of  $\log_2(q_i/x)$  is independent of  $i$ , so even for the final question, it is distributed as the fractional part of  $\log_2(q_0/x)$ , namely, uniformly in  $[0, 1]$ . So in expectation it  $q_n/x$  is  $\int_0^1 2^u du$ . This determines the competitive ratio.

Exercise (due Wednesday): do the same using the natural logarithm, i.e.  $q_i = e^{i+u}$ . What is the competitive ratio of this randomized algorithm?