

Lower bounds for paging

Theorem 1 *No deterministic algorithm can be better than k -competitive.*

Proof: let A be a deterministic algorithm. Take a universe of size $k + 1$. Define your sequence inductively: at time t , given the sequence so far, consider the current set of the cache, and request next the one item that is not in the cache. This defines a sequence.

A has a page fault on every request.

Partition the sequence into phases as usual. Each phase has length at least k and in each phase OPT , which is LFD, has only one page fault, hence the bound.

Theorem 2 *Let y be a distribution on input sequences σ_y such that for every deterministic algorithm A , the expectation over y of the number of pages faults of A is at least $\alpha E(OPT(\sigma_y)) + c$. Then no randomized marking algorithm can be better than α -competitive.*

Let R be a randomized algorithm. R is nothing else than a distribution x over deterministic algorithms A_x . For each A_x ,

$$E_y(\text{cost}(A_x, \sigma_y)) \geq \alpha E_y(OPT(\sigma_y)) + c$$

so that's also true on average over x :

$$E_x E_y(\text{cost}(A_x, \sigma_y)) \geq \alpha E_y(OPT(\sigma_y)) + c$$

By Fubini's theorem we can exchange the order of expectations and write

$$\begin{aligned} E_y E_x(\text{cost}(A_x, \sigma_y)) &\geq \alpha E_y(OPT(\sigma_y)) + c \\ E_y(E_x(\text{cost}(A_x, \sigma_y)) - \alpha E_y(OPT(\sigma_y)) - c) &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

By the probabilistic method, there exists a y such that

$$E_x(\text{cost}(A_x, \sigma_y)) - \alpha OPT(\sigma_y) - c \geq 0$$

and therefore algorithm R has competitive ratio at least α .

Theorem 3 *No randomized algorithm can be better than H_k -competitive.*

Here is a distribution over sequences: the universe has size $k + 1$, and at each step we pick a page uniformly at random among the $k + 1$ pages.

Let A be a deterministic algorithm. the expected number of faults of A , averaged over σ_y 's, is $m/(k + 1)$ because at each step there is a page fault with probability $1/(k + 1)$.

As for OPT , its number of faults is the number of phases in the sequence. In expectation, by independence (and finite expectation) and the elementary renewal theorem, that's m divided by the expected length of a phase. How long does a phase take? As long as it takes to see every page at least once. That's a coupon collector problem and it is easy to see (and well-known) that it's $(k + 1)H_{k+1}$.

Hence the ratio.