• Project 5
• Final Project
Final Project Suggestions

• Topic X with depth cameras such as Kinect.
• 3d reconstruction from a single view.
• Robot path planning or environment mapping.
• Text recognition in natural images.
• Estimating novel properties of scenes (time, date, location, aesthetics, other attributes).
• Gaze tracking or eye tracking.
• Vision-based interfaces (e.g. multi-touch wall)
• Shadow detection and/or removal.
• Intrinsic images. Reflectance and shading decomposition.
• Super-resolution (multi-image or single image)
• Denoising
• Image re-lighting
• Shape-from-shading or Shape-from-texture
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Why Stereo Vision?

Let $P = (X, Y, Z)$.

$$p = (x, y, f)$$

$$x = f \frac{X}{Z} = f \frac{kX}{kZ}$$

$$y = f \frac{Y}{Z} = f \frac{kY}{kZ}$$

**Fundamental Ambiguity:**
Any point on the ray OP has image p.
Why Stereo Vision?

A second camera can resolve the ambiguity, enabling measurement of depth via triangulation.
Depth from disparity

\[
\frac{X - X'}{f} = \frac{\text{baseline}}{z}
\]

\[
X - X' = \frac{\text{baseline} \times f}{z}
\]

\[
z = \frac{\text{baseline} \times f}{X - X'}
\]
Outline

• Human stereopsis
• Stereograms
• Epipolar geometry and the epipolar constraint
  – Case example with parallel optical axes
  – General case with calibrated cameras
General case, with calibrated cameras

- The two cameras need not have parallel optical axes.
• Given $p$ in left image, where can corresponding point $p'$ be?
Stereo correspondence constraints
Epipolar constraint

Geometry of two views constrains where the corresponding pixel for some image point in the first view must occur in the second view.

- It must be on the line carved out by a plane connecting the world point and optical centers.
Epipolar geometry

http://www.ai.sri.com/~luong/research/Meta3DViewer/EpipolarGeo.html
Epipolar geometry: terms

- **Baseline**: line joining the camera centers
- **Epipole**: point of intersection of baseline with image plane
- **Epipolar plane**: plane containing baseline and world point
- **Epipolar line**: intersection of epipolar plane with the image plane

- All epipolar lines intersect at the epipole
- An epipolar plane intersects the left and right image planes in epipolar lines

*Why is the epipolar constraint useful?*
This is useful because it reduces the correspondence problem to a 1D search along an epipolar line.
Example
What do the epipolar lines look like?

1. 

2. 

\( O_l \)

\( O_r \)
Example: converging cameras

Figure from Hartley & Zisserman
Example: parallel cameras

Where are the epipoles?
Example: Forward motion

What would the epipolar lines look like if the camera moves directly forward?
Example: Forward motion

Epipole has same coordinates in both images.
Points move along lines radiating from e: “Focus of expansion”
Fundamental matrix

Let \( p \) be a point in left image, \( p' \) in right image.

Epipolar relation
- \( p \) maps to epipolar line \( l' \)
- \( p' \) maps to epipolar line \( l \)

Epipolar mapping described by a 3x3 matrix \( F \)

\[
\begin{align*}
  l' & = Fp \\
  l & = p'F
\end{align*}
\]

It follows that
\[
p'Fp = 0
\]
Fundamental matrix

This matrix F is called
  • the “Essential Matrix”
    – when image intrinsic parameters are known
  • the “Fundamental Matrix”
    – more generally (uncalibrated case)

Can solve for F from point correspondences
  • Each (p, p’) pair gives one linear equation in entries of F
    \[ p' F p = 0 \]
  • 8 points give enough to solve for F (8-point algorithm)
  • see Marc Pollefeys’s notes for a nice tutorial
Stereo image rectification
Stereo image rectification

- Reproject image planes onto a common plane parallel to the line between camera centers

- Pixel motion is horizontal after this transformation

- Two homographies (3x3 transform), one for each input image reprojection

Rectification example
The correspondence problem

- Epipolar geometry constrains our search, but we still have a difficult correspondence problem.
Basic stereo matching algorithm

- If necessary, rectify the two stereo images to transform epipolar lines into scanlines
- For each pixel $x$ in the first image
  - Find corresponding epipolar scanline in the right image
  - Examine all pixels on the scanline and pick the best match $x'$
  - Compute disparity $x - x'$ and set $\text{depth}(x) = fB/(x - x')$
Correspondence search

- Slide a window along the right scanline and compare contents of that window with the reference window in the left image
- Matching cost: SSD or normalized correlation
Correspondence search

Left

Right

scanline

SSD
Correspondence search

![Scanline comparison between Left and Right images with normalized correlation graph below.](image)
Effect of window size

- Smaller window
  + More detail
  - More noise

- Larger window
  + Smoother disparity maps
  - Less detail
Failures of correspondence search

Textureless surfaces

Occlusions, repetition

Non-Lambertian surfaces, specularities
Results with window search

Data

Window-based matching

Ground truth
How can we improve window-based matching?

• So far, matches are independent for each point

• What constraints or priors can we add?
Stereo constraints/priors

• Uniqueness
  – For any point in one image, there should be at most one matching point in the other image
Stereo constraints/priors

- **Uniqueness**
  - For any point in one image, there should be at most one matching point in the other image

- **Ordering**
  - Corresponding points should be in the same order in both views
Stereo constraints/priors

- **Uniqueness**
  - For any point in one image, there should be at most one matching point in the other image

- **Ordering**
  - Corresponding points should be in the same order in both views

Ordering constraint doesn’t hold
Priors and constraints

• Uniqueness
  – For any point in one image, there should be at most one matching point in the other image

• Ordering
  – Corresponding points should be in the same order in both views

• Smoothness
  – We expect disparity values to change slowly (for the most part)
Scanline stereo

- Try to coherently match pixels on the entire scanline
- Different scanlines are still optimized independently
“Shortest paths” for scan-line stereo

Can be implemented with dynamic programming

Ohta & Kanade ’85, Cox et al. ‘96
Coherent stereo on 2D grid

- Scanline stereo generates streaking artifacts

- Can’t use dynamic programming to find spatially coherent disparities/correspondences on a 2D grid
Stereo matching as energy minimization

\[ E(D) = \sum_i (W_1(i) - W_2(i + D(i)))^2 + \lambda \sum_{i,j} \rho \Phi(i) - D(j) \]

- Energy functions of this form can be minimized using graph cuts

Y. Boykov, O. Veksler, and R. Zabih, Fast Approximate Energy Minimization via Graph Cuts, PAMI 2001
Many of these constraints can be encoded in an energy function and solved using graph cuts.


For the latest and greatest: [http://www.middlebury.edu/stereo/](http://www.middlebury.edu/stereo/)
Active stereo with structured light

- Project “structured” light patterns onto the object
  - Simplifies the correspondence problem
  - Allows us to use only one camera

Kinect: Structured infrared light

Potential matches for $x$ have to lie on the corresponding line $l'$. 

Potential matches for $x'$ have to lie on the corresponding line $l$. 

Summary: Key idea: Epipolar constraint
Summary

• Epipolar geometry
  – Epipoles are intersection of baseline with image planes
  – Matching point in second image is on a line passing through its epipole
  – Fundamental matrix maps from a point in one image to a line (its epipolar line) in the other
  – Can solve for F given corresponding points (e.g., interest points)

• Stereo depth estimation
  – Estimate disparity by finding corresponding points along scanlines
  – Depth is inverse to disparity
Next class: structure from motion