

# Bayesian Linear Regression

(1)

$$p(y | \Phi, w) = \mathcal{N}(y | \Phi w + b, \Sigma)$$

$$p(w) = \mathcal{N}(w | m_0, S_0)$$

say we want the MAP estimate

- ① get posterior
- ② take gradient  $w = 0$

Linear Algebra Tricks

$$(AB)^T = B^T A^T$$

$$a^T b = b^T a \text{ for vectors}$$

$$\nabla_x (a^T x) = a$$

$$\nabla_x (x^T A x) = (A + A^T) x$$

for simplicity, we take the  $-\log$  posterior & minimize it.

$$-\log(p(w | \Phi, y, m_0, S_0, \Sigma, b)) = \frac{1}{2} (y - \Phi w - b)^T \Sigma^{-1} (y - \Phi w - b) + \frac{1}{2} (w - m_0)^T S_0^{-1} (w - m_0)$$

Simplify (discuss what these simplifications mean)

$$\begin{array}{ll} b = 0 & m_0 = 0 \\ \Sigma^{-1} = \beta I & S_0^{-1} = \lambda I \end{array}$$

after simplifying

$$-\log p(w|\cdot) = \beta (y - \Phi w)^T (y - \Phi w) + \lambda w^T w$$

expand this

$$\textcircled{A} \quad \textcircled{B} \quad \textcircled{C}$$

$$y^T y - (\Phi w)^T y - y^T \Phi w + (\Phi w)^T \Phi w$$

not gonna matter in  $\nabla_w$

~~$\textcircled{B} \rightarrow w^T \Phi y - (\Phi w)^T y$~~

~~$\neq -2$~~

vectors!

$$\textcircled{B} - y^T \Phi w - y^T \Phi w$$

$$= -2 (\Phi^T y)^T w$$

$$\textcircled{C} w^T \Phi^T \Phi w$$

$$\nabla_w -\log p(w|\cdot) = \beta (-2 \Phi^T y + 2 \Phi^T \Phi w) + 2 \lambda w$$

set = 0

⇓

$$\hat{w}^{MAD} = (\beta \Phi^T \Phi + \lambda I)^{-1} \beta \Phi^T y$$

this is the mean of the posterior gaussian

Discuss

Basis Functions Global vs. Local

Demo App: How does basis functions meet up with hyperplane fitting?

Discriminative Vs Generative models

Use  ~~$p(y|\Phi, w)$~~   $p(y|\Phi, w)$  in a classifier

$$p(\text{class}, y, \Phi) = p(\text{class}) p(y, \Phi | \text{class})$$

$$p(y, \Phi | \text{class}) = p(\Phi) p(y | \Phi, w_{\text{class}})$$