

CSCI 1590

Intro to Computational Complexity

Complement Classes and the Polynomial Time Hierarchy

John E. Savage

Brown University

February 9, 2009

- 1 Review of Space Complexity
- 2 Complements of Complexity Classes
- 3 **coNP**
- 4 Polynomial Time Hierarchy

- We introduce classes of language complements and define the polynomial time hierarchy.
- We show that all languages in this hierarchy are contained in **PSPACE**.
- We introduce TQBF (totally quantified Boolean formulas). Later we show that it is **PSPACE**-complete.

Review: Space Complexity Classes

Class	Space	By	Note
L	Logarithmic	DTM	
NL	Logarithmic	NDTM	$L \subseteq NL$
L²	Square Log	DTM	
PSPACE	Polynomial	DTM	
NPSPACE	Polynomial	NTM	$PSPACE \subseteq NPSPACE$

Review: Savitch's Theorem and Its Consequences

Theorem (Savitch)

REACHABILITY is in **SPACE**($\log^2 n$), $n = |V|$.

Construct an algorithm to compute $\text{PATH}(a, b, 2^k)$.

Corollary

If $r(n)$ proper, $r(n) = \Omega(\log n)$, then **NSPACE**($r(n)$) \subseteq **SPACE**($r^2(n)$).

Construct configuration graph for NDTM recognizing L in **NSPACE**($r(n)$).

Theorem

PSPACE = **NPSPACE**.

Proof

Easy to show that **PSPACE** \subseteq **NPSPACE**. From Corollary to Savitch's theorem, **NPSPACE** \subseteq **PSPACE** from which the result follows.

Important Complexity Classes

- Time classes: **P**, **NP**, **EXPTIME**, **NEXPTIME**
- Space classes: **L**, **NL**, **L²**, **PSPACE**, **NPSPACE**.

Complements of Decision Problems

SAT

Instance: Literals $X = \{x_1, \bar{x}_1, x_2, \bar{x}_2, \dots, x_n, \bar{x}_n\}$, and clauses $C = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m)$ where each clause c_i is a subset of X .

Answer: “Yes” if for some assignment of Booleans to variables in $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$, at least one literal in each clause has value 1.

Definition

The complement of a decision problem L , denoted $\text{co}L$, is the set of “No” instances of a decision problem.

Note

$\text{co}L \neq \bar{L} = \Sigma^* - L$. In fact, $L \cup \text{co}L = WF_L \subset \Sigma^*$ where WF_L is the set of well-formed strings describing “Yes” and “No” instances. That is, $\text{co}L = WF_L - L$.

Can recognize in PTIME whether a string is in WF_L .

Complements of Complexity Classes

Definition

The **complement of a complexity class** is the set of complements of languages in the class.

Example

coNP is set of languages consisting of “No” instances of **NP** languages.

Complements of Complexity Classes

Theorem

Let $\mathcal{C}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{C}_2$, $\text{co}\mathcal{C}_1 \subseteq \text{co}\mathcal{C}_2$. If $\mathcal{C}_1 = \mathcal{C}_2$, $\text{co}\mathcal{C}_1 = \text{co}\mathcal{C}_2$

Proof.

If $\text{co}L \in \text{co}\mathcal{C}_1$, $L \in \mathcal{C}_1$. Thus, $L \in \mathcal{C}_2$. This implies that $\text{co}L \in \text{co}\mathcal{C}_2$. \square

Note: $\text{co}\mathcal{C}$ is very different from languages not in \mathcal{C} .

Complements of Important Classes

- **$P = \text{co}P$**

For deterministic Turing Machines, flip accept and reject states.

- **$PSPACE = \text{co}PSPACE$**

As with **P** and **$\text{co}P$** , **$PSPACE = \text{co}PSPACE$**

- **$NPSPACE = \text{co}NPSPACE$**

By Savitch's theorem **$NPSPACE = PSPACE$** , so **$\text{co}NPSPACE = \text{co}PSPACE = PSPACE$**

- What about **$\text{co}NP$** ?

If **$P = NP$** , then since **$P = \text{co}P$** , **$NP = \text{co}NP$** . Thus, if we can show that **$NP \neq \text{co}NP$** , then **$P \neq NP$** .

Closure under Complements of Nondeterministic Space Classes

$\text{coSPACE}(s(n)) \subseteq \text{coNSPACE}(s(n))$ follows from previous theorem. Combining with Savitch's theorem, we have

$$\text{NSPACE}(s(n)) \subseteq \text{SPACE}(s(n)^2) \subseteq \text{coNSPACE}(s(n)^2)$$

If the space to recognize a set of languages is at least logarithmic, a stronger result is known:

Theorem (Immerman-Scelepscenyi)

If $r(n) = \Omega(\log n)$ is proper,

$$\text{NSPACE}(r(n)) = \text{coNSPACE}(r(n))$$

Proof

See textbooks.

Theorem

Let L be an **NP**-complete language. $\text{co}L$ is **coNP**-complete.

Proof

By definition, if $L \subseteq \Sigma_1^*$ is in **NP**, $\text{co}L \subseteq \Sigma_2^*$ is in **coNP**. Any language L' in **NP** can be reduced to L using some polynomial time reduction, $f(x)$.

f also reduces \bar{L}' to \bar{L} in PTIME. By assumption the sets of instances of L' and L , denoted $WF_{L'}$ and WF_L , respectively, are PTIME recognizable. It follows that there exists a PTIME computable function $g : \Sigma_1^* \mapsto \Sigma_2^*$ such that $x \in \text{co}L' \Leftrightarrow g(x) \in \text{co}L$ obtained by rejecting strings not in $WF_{L'}$ and applying f to those in $WF_{L'}$.

Again, if **NP** \neq **coNP**, then **P** \neq **NP**.

Languages in coNP

- The language **BF** is the set of Boolean formulas $\{b(\mathbf{x})\}$ that can have value true, denoted $\exists \mathbf{u} b(\mathbf{u})$.
- **coBF** is the set of Boolean formulas that cannot have value true, denoted $\neg \exists \mathbf{u} b(\mathbf{u}) = \forall \mathbf{u} \bar{b}(\mathbf{u})$ where $\bar{b}(\mathbf{u})$, the Boolean complement of \mathbf{u} , is another boolean formula $b'(\mathbf{u})$.
- Since SAT is **NP**-complete, so is **BF**. **coBF** is **coNP**-complete.
- **coBF**, or TAUTOLOGY, is the set of formulas that are true for all assignments of values to its variables, denoted $\forall \mathbf{u} b'(\mathbf{u})$.
- Because TAUTOLOGY (or **coBF**) is **coNP**-complete, if TAUTOLOGY is in **P**, then **P** = **coNP**.

It is highly improbable that there is a PTIME algorithm to show that a Boolean formula is not satisfiable by any input.

Polynomial Time Hierarchy

- A language is in **NP**(co**NP**) if and only if it can be reduced in polynomial time to a statement of the form $\exists \mathbf{x} b(\mathbf{x})$ ($\forall \mathbf{x} b(\mathbf{x})$)
- What about additional levels of alternation? For two alternations
 - $\forall \mathbf{x}_1 \exists \mathbf{x}_2 b(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2)$
 - $\exists \mathbf{x}_1 \forall \mathbf{x}_2 b(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2)$
- The sets of languages reducible to statements of this form are denoted $\Pi_2^P = \{\forall \mathbf{x}_1 \exists \mathbf{x}_2 b(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2)\}$ and $\Sigma_2^P = \{\exists \mathbf{x}_1 \forall \mathbf{x}_2 b(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2)\}$ respectively.
- More generally, we can consider any constant number of alternations, i , and denote these sets of languages Π_i^P and Σ_i^P . Here Π (Σ) signals that the outermost operator is universal (existential) quantification. The subscript indicates the number of levels of quantification.

The Polynomial Time Hierarchy

Definition

The Polynomial Hierarchy (**PH**) is defined as

$$\mathbf{PH} = \bigcup_i \Sigma_i^P$$

- Just as it is believed that $\mathbf{P} \neq \mathbf{NP}$ and $\text{coNP} \neq \mathbf{NP}$, it is conjectured that all levels of **PH** are distinct.
- As with SAT and TAUTOLOGY, notice that $\Pi_i^P = \text{co}\Sigma_i^P$.
- If for i , $\Pi_i^P = \Sigma_i^P$, $\mathbf{PH} = \Sigma_i^P$, meaning **PH** collapses at the i th level.
E.g. if $\forall_{\mathbf{u}_3} \exists_{\mathbf{u}_2} \forall_{\mathbf{u}_1} b(\mathbf{u}_3, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_1) = \exists_{\mathbf{u}_3} \forall_{\mathbf{u}_2} \exists_{\mathbf{u}_1} b(\mathbf{u}_3, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_1)$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \exists_{\mathbf{u}_4} \forall_{\mathbf{u}_3} \exists_{\mathbf{u}_2} \forall_{\mathbf{u}_1} b(\mathbf{u}_3, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_1) &= \exists_{\mathbf{u}_4} \exists_{\mathbf{u}_3} \forall_{\mathbf{u}_2} \exists_{\mathbf{u}_1} b(\mathbf{u}_3, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_1) \\ &= \exists_{\mathbf{u}_4, \mathbf{u}_3} \forall_{\mathbf{u}_2} \exists_{\mathbf{u}_1} b(\mathbf{u}_3, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_1) \end{aligned}$$

- If $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{NP}$, $\Pi_1^P = \Sigma_1^P$ and $\mathbf{PH} = \mathbf{P}$.

PH and PSPACE

- It is not hard to see that **PH** \in **PSPACE**.
- A language L is **PH-complete** if $L \in \mathbf{PH}$ and all languages in **PH** are PTIME reducible to L .

Theorem

*If there is a language that is **PH-complete**, the polynomial hierarchy collapses, that is, for some i , **PH** $\subseteq \Sigma_i^P$.*

Proof.

To see why, since **PH** $= \bigcup_i \Sigma_i^P$ there is some i such that $L \in \Sigma_i^P$. Since L is **PH-complete**, we can reduce every language in **PH** to it and to Σ_i^P . Thus, **PH** $\subseteq \Sigma_i^P$. □

More Alternations

- An instance of $TQBF$ is a quantified boolean formula with an unbounded number of alternations. In other words, each variable can be quantified separately.
- Any language in **PH** can be reduced to $TQBF$.
- $TQBF \in \mathbf{PSPACE}$.
- Later, we show $TQBF$ is **PSPACE**-complete, that is, all languages in **PSPACE** can be reduced to $TQBF$ in polynomial time.