1. Slide XVI-39 gives an example of code whose performance varies depending on the data. The function minmax1 performs much worse for random data than it does for predictable data. This has to do with the processor’s ability to do branch prediction. For random data, prediction is not possible. In this problem we compute what the penalty is for branch misprediction on the Sunlab computers. Code for this problem is provided for you in minmax.c, which you should examine carefully — part of the exercise is figuring out what it does. Rather than expressing time in clock cycles as is done in the slides and in the textbook, we express time in nanoseconds. So as to get reasonably accurate results, we use arrays of size $2^{22}$ (roughly 4 million). You will not need to modify the code, but you should compile it using gcc’s -O2 flag so as to turn on a fair amount of optimization, i.e.,

```
gcc -o minmax minmax.c -O2
```

The program prints out various figures for time/iteration for calls to minmax1 using different arguments. For parts a and b, you are to explain what these values refer to.

a. Which value printed by the program is the time per iteration of the loop if the data is such that the branch is never taken? Which value corresponds to the case in which the data is such that the branch is always taken? Explain.

b. Which value printed by the program is the time per iteration of the loop if it’s completely random whether the branch is taken? Explain.

c. What is the penalty, in nanoseconds, for a branch misprediction? (Assume the penalty is constant, regardless of whether the misprediction is for a taken branch or a non-taken branch.) Explain.

d. Look at the assembler code produced by gcc (use the –S flag to get gcc to produce a .s file containing assembler code). The compiler made a structural change to the program to speed things up a bit, what did it do? (Hint: look at the compiled code for main.)