Lecture 28: Solution Searching in Dynamic Programming
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Objectives

By the end of these notes, you will know how to search for a solution in a 2-dimensional dynamic programming problem.

The slide deck posted alongside these notes (on the lectures page) has some of the figures referenced in this document.

1 Maximizing Halloween Candy

In the setup notes for today, we introduced the Halloween problem, in which you are searching for the best route to take through the neighborhood to maximize the halloween candy that you collect.

The first step in solving any searching-based problem (or any other problem for that matter) is to play with an example to make sure you understand the constraints of the problem.

Slide 3 in the posted deck shows a gray box with the amount of candy that you can collect, annotated with red arrows over the gray box showing examples of the moves you can make from house to house. The blue circles on the gray table show the optimal route.

The pink table shows the table that holds the max candy you can get at each house, assuming you filled the table from the top row to the last row. The green table shows the max-candy table, this time filled from the last row to the top row. Each table shows the same max answer (circled in blue). While the circled cell in each table is part of the optimal path (from the blue circles on the gray table), our code will still need to compute the actual path.

A DP-solution would compute one of these two tables (either choice works) to store the results of computing the max candy available at each house. The solution we build today will follow the green table.

To see the computation that underlies the table, you can look at the following sample Excel sheet, which computes each of the green and pink tables from the gray table. When we write our code for
class Halloween() {
    def maxCandy(candyAvailable: Array[Array[Int]]): Int = {
        val height = candyAvailable.length - 1
        val width = candyAvailable(0).length - 1

        // get the available candy from the input, returning
        // 0 if the requested row/col is not in the table
        def candyVal(row: Int, col: Int): Int = {
            if ((row > height) | (col > width) | (col < 0))
                0
            else
                candyAvailable(row)(col)
        }

        def maxRec(row: Int, col: Int): Int = {
            if (row == height)
                candyVal(row, col)
            else
                candyVal(row, col) +
                max(maxRec(row + 1, col - 1),
                    max(maxRec(row + 1, col), maxRec(row + 1, col + 1)))
        }

        // get the largest candy total from the top row
        List.range(0, width).map(c => maxRec(0, c)).max
    }
}

Figure 1: The unoptimized recursive solution

this problem, we will be implementing the same formulas as shown in the Excel spreadsheet.
http://cs.brown.edu/courses/csci0180/content/lectures/aux/28excel.xlsx

Figure 1 shows the un-optimized recursive program that solves this problem (the heart of the recursive function matches the computation in the pink/green tables). Figure 2 is the DP solution that computes the green table, storing it in an array. See the code comments for additional information.

1.1 Searching for paths

To also search for the optimal path (instead of just the optimal value), we need to compute a second table that holds the path of cells that got to the corresponding value in the green table. Slide 5 of the deck shows the path table in the upper right. Each cell in the darker-green table holds a list of tuples for the coordinates that were used to compute the max value in the lighter-green table. If you look at the list of coordinates in the top-left corner of the dark green table, you see that they correspond to the path taken with the blue circles on the gray table.

Figures 3 and 4 shows the code augmented with a second table (called bestPath) that mimics
class HalloweenLtoF() { // LtoF stands for "last to first row"

def maxCandy(candyAvailable: Array[Array[Int]]): Int = {
  val height = candyAvailable.length - 1
  val width = candyAvailable(0).length - 1
  // the array for holding previously computed values
  val candyComputed = Array.ofDim[Int](width+1, height+1)

  // get the available candy from the input, returning
  // 0 if the requested row/col is not in the table.
  def candyVal(row: Int, col: Int): Int = {
    if ((col > width) | (col < 0))
      0
    else
      candyComputed(row)(col)
  }

  // set up the base cases of the computed values
  for (col <- 0 to width) {
    candyComputed(height)(col) = candyAvailable(height)(col)
  }

  // set up the rest of the computed values
  for (row <- height - 1 to 0 by -1)
    for (col <- 0 to width)
      candyComputed(row)(col) =
        candyAvailable(row)(col) +
        max(candyVal(row + 1, col - 1),
            max(candyVal(row + 1, col), candyVal(row + 1, col + 1)))

  // lookup the computed answer
  // get the largest candy total from the top row
  List.range(0, width).map(c => candyComputed(0)(c)).max
}
}

Figure 2: The optimized solution for computing only max candy available
the dark green table. The two tables get computed simultaneously – as we figure out which cell to use to fill the next cell in the light-green table, we add that cell to the list accumulating in the dark-green table. This version has moved the code for initializing the arrays and populating them into helper functions. The main reason for that change is to align with the homework format, which was designed to show you a structuring technique that would also work in Java (where these methods would get called within the constructor).

2 Summary

What should you take from the DP segment?

- If you have a piece of functional (no mutation) code that makes the same call on the same inputs multiple times, you can save time by storing the previously-computed results in a data structure and retrieving them later.

- Search-based problems often satisfy this pattern. In search-based problems, we are looking to find (a) a solution, that (b) optimizes for some attribute of the data. In these notes, we have shown you how to make data structures to hold the results of both the optimized attribute and the solution paths as you run the program.

- A program modified to use dynamic programming will run only once on each unique input value. Dynamic programming saves on time by using more space.

- We used arrays as the data structure for previously-computed inputs here (rather than, say, hashtables) partly because you will often see DP problems solved with arrays (and we want you to be prepared for interviews). You could have used different data structures in practice.

- Starting from a working recursive solution without optimization can ease the process of writing the optimized solution.

3 Study Questions

1. Why did we say that DP only works if the original recursive program is functional (rather than mutating data)?

2. If you do write both the unoptimized and the optimized solutions, how might you use both in testing?

3. DP seems to introduce a sizeable space overhead, especially if we were to be searching in large data. Think about our final Halloween solution, and the patterns of array cell access that get used in the final solution. Do the patterns suggest ways that we could use less space than our current approach (which needs two arrays, each the same dimensions as the input neighborhood).
class HalloweenLtoFPaths(candyAvailable: Array[Array[Int]]) {
  val height = candyAvailable.length - 1
  val width = candyAvailable(0).length - 1
  val candyComputed = Array.ofDim[Int](width + 1, height + 1)
  // make lists of tuple of coordinates to store paths
  val bestPath = Array.ofDim[List[(Int, Int)]](width + 1, height + 1)

  // suppressed in this version for space
  def candyVal(row: Int, col: Int): Int = { ... }
  
  // a new helper to return which of col-1, col, and col+1 has
  // highest value in row -- use this to update both tables
  def bestCol(row: Int, col: Int): Int = {
    if (candyVal(row, col - 1) > candyVal(row, col))
      if (candyVal(row, col - 1) > candyVal(row, col + 1))
        col - 1
      else col + 1
    else if (candyVal(row, col) > candyVal(row, col + 1))
      col
    else col + 1
  }

  def initTable() = {
    // set up the base cases of the computed values
    for (col <- 0 to width) {
      candyComputed(height)(col) = candyAvailable(height)(col)
      bestPath(height)(col) = List((height, col))
    }
  }

  def fillTable() = {
    // set up the rest of the computed values
    for (row <- height - 1 to 0 by -1) {
      for (col <- 0 to width) {
        val maxCol = bestCol(row + 1, col)
        candyComputed(row)(col) =
          candyAvailable(row)(col) + candyVal(row + 1, maxCol)
        bestPath(row)(col) = (row, col) :: bestPath(row + 1)(maxCol)
      }
    }
  }

  // run the setup methods
  // in Scala, these don't really need to be methods. However, the homework
  // handout asks for them because this is how you would set up such a
  // problem in Java -- these methods would get called in the constructor
  initTable()
  fillTable()
}

Figure 3: Most of the solution that also computes paths.
// get the largest candy total from the top row of the candyComputed table
def maxCandy(): Int = {
    List.range(0, width).map(c => candyComputed(0)(c)).max
}

// get the path that leads to the max candy
def maxPath(): List[(Int, Int)] = {
    val indices = List.range(0, width)
    val topRowVals = indices.map(c => candyComputed(0)(c))
    val indexOfMax = topRowVals.indexOf(topRowVals.max)
    bestPath(0)(indexOfMax)
}

Figure 4: The rest of the paths-based solution.
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