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Spanning Trees

- A **spanning tree** of a graph is
  - subset of edges that form a tree that spans every vertex
Minimum Spanning Trees

- A **minimum spanning tree (MST)** is
  - spanning tree with minimum total edge weight
Applications

- Networks
  - electric
  - computer
  - water
  - transportation
- Computer vision
  - Facial recognition
  - Handwriting recognition
- Low-density parity check codes (LDPC)
Minimum Spanning Tree Algos

- Prim-Jarnik’s Algorithm
- Kruskal’s Algorithm
Prim-Jarnik Algorithm

- Traverse $G$ starting at any node
  - Maintain priority queue of nodes
  - set priority to weight of the edge that connects them to MST
- Un-added nodes start with priority $\infty$
- At each step
  - Connect the node with lowest cost
  - Update (“relax”) neighbors as necessary
- Stop when all nodes added to MST
Example

$$PQ = [(0, A), (∞, B), (∞, C), (∞, D), (∞, E), (∞, F)]$$

Random node set to cost 0
Example

Dequeue from PQ and update neighbors

\[ PQ = [(4, B), (5, D), (\infty, C), (\infty, E), (\infty, F)] \]
Example

PQ = [(4,C), (4,D), (6,E), (8,F)]

Debeque from PQ and update neighbors
Example

\[ PQ = [(2, E), (4, D), (8, F)] \]

Dequeue from PQ and update neighbors
Example

\[ PQ = [(4, D), (4, F)] \]

Dequeue from PQ and update neighbors
Example

\[
PQ = [(3, F)]
\]

Dequeue from PQ and update neighbors.
Example

Dequeue from PQ and update neighbors
Example
function **prim**(G):
   // Input: weighted, undirected graph G with vertices V
   // Output: list of edges in MST
   for all v in V:
      v.cost = \infty
      v.prev = null
   source = a random v in V
   source.cost = 0
   MST = []
PQ = PriorityQueue(V) // priorities will be v.cost values
   while PQ is not empty:
      v = PQ.removeMin()
      if v.prev != null:
         MST.append((v, v.prev))
      for all incident edges (v,u) of v:
         if u.cost > (v,u).weight:
            u.cost = (v,u).weight
            u.prev = v
            PQ.replaceKey(u, u.cost)
   return MST
Simulate Prim-Jarnik

```python
function prim(G):
    for all v in V:
        v.cost = ∞
        v.prev = null
    source = a random v in V
    source.cost = 0
    MST = []
PQ = PriorityQueue(V) // priorities will be v.cost values
    while PQ is not empty:
        v = PQ.removeMin()
        if v.prev != null:
            MST.append((v, v.prev))
        for all incident edges (v,u) of v:
            if u.cost > (v,u).weight:
                u.cost = (v,u).weight
                u.prev = v
                PQ.replaceKey(u, u.cost)
    return MST
```
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Simulate Prim-Jarnik

**Activity #1**

```python
function prim(G):
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            MST.append((v, v.prev))
        for all incident edges (v,u) of v:
            if u.cost > (v,u).weight:
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Runtime Analysis

- Decorating nodes with distance and previous pointers is $O(|V|)$
- Putting nodes in PQ is $O(|V| \log |V|)$ (really $O(|V|)$ since $\infty$ priorities)
- While loop runs $|V|$ times
  - removing vertex from PQ is $O(\log |V|)$
  - So $O(|V| \log |V|)$
- For loop (in while loop) runs $|E|$ times in total
  - Replacing vertex's key in the PQ is $\log |V|$
  - So $O(|E| \log |V|)$
- Overall runtime
  - $O(|V| + |V| \log |V| + |V| \log |V| + |E| \log |V|)$
  - $= O((|E| + |V|) \log |V|)$
Proof of Correctness

- Common way of proving correctness of greedy algos
  - show that algorithm is always correct at every step
- Best way to do this is by induction
  - tricky part is coming up with the right invariant
Graph Cuts

- A cut is any partition of the vertices into two groups

- Here $G$ is partitioned in 2
  - with edges $b$ and $a$ joining the partitions
Proof of Correctness

- **P(n)**
  - first \( n \) edges added by Prim are a subtree of some MST

- Base case when \( n=0 \)
  - no edges have been added yet so \( P(0) \) is trivially true

- Inductive Hypothesis
  - first \( k \) edges added by Prim form a tree \( T \) which is subtree of some MST \( M \)
Proof of Correctness

- Inductive Step
  - Let $e$ be the $(k+1)$th edge that is added
  - $e$ will connect $T$ (green nodes) to an unvisited node (one of blue nodes)
  - We need to show that adding $e$ to $T$
    - forms a subtree of some MST $M'$
    - (which may or may not be the same MST as $M$)
Proof of Correctness

- Two cases
  - $e$ is in original MST $M$
  - $e$ is not in $M$

- Case 1: $e$ is in $M$
  - there exists an MST that contains first $k+1$ edges
  - So $P(k+1)$ is true!
Proof of Correctness

- Case 2: $e$ is not in $M$
  - if we add $e = (u, v)$ to $M$ then we get a cycle
  - why? since $M$ is span. tree there must be path from $u$ to $v$ w/o $e$
  - so there must be another edge $e'$ that connects $T$ to unvisited nodes

- We know $e.weight \leq e'.weight$ because Prim chose $e$ first
Proof of Correctness

- So if we add \( e \) to \( M \) and remove \( e' \)
  - we get a new MST \( M' \) that is no larger than \( M \) and contains \( T \) & \( e \)

- \( P(k+1) \) is true
  - because \( M' \) is an MST that contains the first \( k+1 \) edges added by Prim's
Proof of Correctness

- Since we have shown
  - \( P(0) \) is true
  - \( P(k+1) \) is true assuming \( P(k) \) is true (for both cases)
  - The first \( n \) edges added by Prim form a subtree of some MST
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Kruskal’s Algorithm

- Sort edges by weight in ascending order
- For each edge in sorted list
  - If adding edge does not create cycle...
  - ...add it to MST
- Stop when you have gone through all edges
Example

edges = [(C,E), (D,F), (B,C), (E,F), (B,D), (A,B), (A,D), (B,E), (B,F)]
Simulate Kruskal
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Kruskal

- How can we tell if adding edge will create cycle?
  - could run BFS/DFS to detect a cycle
  - but that’s slow!
- Start by giving each vertex its own “cloud”
- When edge is added to MST
  - `union()` or merge clouds of the endpoints
  - If both ends of edge are in same cloud
    - we know that adding the edge will create a cycle!
Example

edges = [(C,E), (D,F), (B,C), (E,F), (B,D), (A,B), (A,D), (B,E), (B,F)]
Example

edges = [(D, F), (B, C), (E, F), (B, D), (A, B), (A, D), (B, E), (B, F)]
edges = [(B,C), (E,F), (B,D), (A,B), (A,D), (B,E), (B,F)]
edges = [(E,F), (B,D), (A,B), (A,D), (B,E), (B,F)]
Example

edges = [(B,D), (A,B), (A,D), (B,E), (B,F)]
Example

edges = [(A, B), (A, D), (B, E), (B, F)]

BD cannot be added because it would lead to a cycle
Example

edges = [(A,D), (B,E), (B,F)]
Example

A

B

C

D

E

F

edges = [(B, E), (B, F)]

AD cannot be added because it would lead to a cycle
Example

BE cannot be added because it would lead to a cycle

edges = [(B,F)]
Example

edges = [ ]
function kruskal(G):
    // Input: undirected, weighted graph G
    // Output: list of edges in MST
    for vertices v in G:
        makeCloud(v) // put every vertex into it own set
    MST = []
    Sort all edges
    for all edges (u,v) in G sorted by weight:
        if u and v are not in same cloud:
            add (u,v) to MST
            merge clouds containing u and v
    return MST
Merging Clouds (Naive way)

- Assign each vertex a different number
  - that represents its initial cloud
- To merge clouds of \( u \) and \( v \)
  - Find all vertices in each cloud
  - Figure out which of the clouds is smaller
  - Redecorate all vertices in smaller cloud w/ bigger cloud’s number
Merging Clouds (Naive way)

- Finding all vertices in $u$ & $v$'s clouds is $O(|V|)$
  - because we have to iterate through each vertex...
  - ...and check if its cloud number matches $u$ or $v$’s cloud number

- Figuring out smaller cloud is $O(1)$
  - as long as we keep track of cloud size as we find vertices in them

- Changing cloud numbers of nodes in smaller cloud is $O(|V|)$
  - because cloud could be as big as $|V|/2$ vertices

- Total Runtime
  - $O(|V|) + O(1) + O(|V|) = O(|V|)$
Runtime of Naive Kruskal

- Finding all vertices in u & v's clouds is $O(|V|)$
  - because we have to iterate through each vertex...
  - …and check if its cloud number matches u or v's cloud number
- Figuring out smaller cloud is $O(1)$
  - as long as we keep track of cloud size as we find vertices in them
- Changing cloud numbers of vertices in smaller cloud is $O(|V|)$
  - because cloud could be as big as $|V|/2$ vertices
- Total Runtime
  - $O(|V|) + O(1) + O(|V|) = O(|V|)$
Runtime of Naive Kruskal

- Finding all vertices in \( u \) & \( v \)'s clouds is \( O(|V|) \)
  - because we have to iterate through each vertex...
  - ...and check if its cloud number matches \( u \) or \( v \)'s cloud number
- Figuring out smaller cloud is \( O(1) \)
  - as long as we keep track of cloud size as we find vertices in them
- Changing cloud numbers of vertices in smaller cloud is \( O(|V|) \)
  - because cloud could be as big as \( |V|/2 \) vertices
- Total Runtime
  - \( O(|V|) + O(1) + O(|V|) = O(|V|) \)

Activity #4
Runtime of Naive Kruskal

- Finding all vertices in \( u \) & \( v \)'s clouds is \( O( |V|) \)
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  - as long as we keep track of cloud size as we find vertices in them
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Runtime of Naive Kruskal

- Finding all vertices in u & v's clouds is $O(|V|)$
  - because we have to iterate through each vertex...
  - ...and check if its cloud number matches u or v's cloud number
- Figuring out smaller cloud is $O(1)$
  - as long as we keep track of cloud size as we find vertices in them
- Changing cloud numbers of vertices in smaller cloud is $O(|V|)$
  - because cloud could be as big as $|V|/2$ vertices
- Total Runtime
  - $O(|V|) + O(1) + O(|V|) = O(|V|)$
function **kruskal**\( (G) \):

// Input: undirected, weighted graph G
// Output: list of edges in MST

for vertices \( v \) in \( G \):
    makeCloud\( (v) \)

MST = []

Sort all edges

for all edges \( (u,v) \) in \( G \) sorted by weight:
    if \( u \) and \( v \) are not in same cloud:
        add \( (u,v) \) to MST
        merge clouds containing \( u \) and \( v \)

return MST

\[ O(\mid V\mid) \]
\[ O(\mid E\mid \log \mid E\mid) \]
\[ O(\mid E\mid) \]
\[ O(\mid V\mid) \]
Kruskal Runtime

- \( O(|V|) \) for iterating through vertices
- \( O(|E| \log |E|) \) for sorting edges
- \( O(|E|) \times O(|V|) \) for iterating through edges and merging clouds naively

\[
O(|V|) + O(|E| \log |E|) + O(|E|) \times O(|V|) = O(|V|^3)
\]

- Can we do better?
Union-Find

- Let's rethink notion of clouds
  - instead of labeling vertices w/ cloud numbers
  - think of clouds as small trees
- Every vertex in these trees has
  - a parent pointer that leads up to root of the tree
  - a rank that measures how deep the tree is
Example

edges = [(C,E), (D,F), (B,C), (E,F), (B,D), (A,B), (A,D), (B,E), (B,F)]
edges = [(D, F), (B, C), (E, F), (B, D), (A, B), (A, D), (B, E), (B, F)]
Example

edges = [(B, C), (E, F), (B, D), (A, B), (A, D), (B, E), (B, F)]
Example

edges = [(E,F), (B,D), (A,B), (A,D), (B,E), (B,F)]
Example

edges = [(B,D), (A,B), (A,D), (B,E), (B,F)]
Example

edges = [(A,D), (B,E), (B,F)]
Example

edges = [(A, D), (B, E), (B, F)]
Implementing Union-Find

- At start of Kruskal
  - every node is put into own cloud

---

```cpp
// Decorates every vertex with its parent ptr & rank
function makeCloud(x):
    x.parent = x
    x.rank = 0
```
Implementing Union-Find

- Suppose \( \textbf{A} \) is in cloud 1 and \( \textbf{B} \) is in cloud 2
- Instead of relabeling \( \textbf{B} \) as cloud 1 make \( \textbf{B} \) point to \( \textbf{A} \)
  - Think of this as the union of two clouds

Given two clouds which one should point to the other?
Implementing Union-Find

- Use the rank property!
- For clouds of size 1
  - root has rank 0
- For clouds larger than 1
  - rank is updated during a `union()` operation
  - +1 when merged with cloud of same size
Implementing Union-Find

- Merging trees with same rank
Implementing Union-Find

- Merging trees with same rank

```
A
| 2 |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
Implementing Union-Find

- Merging trees with different ranks
Implementing Union-Find

- Merging trees with different ranks
Implementing Union-Find

// Merges two clouds, given the root of each cloud
function union(root1, root2):
    if root1.rank > root2.rank:
        root2.parent = root1
    elif root1.rank < root2.rank:
        root1.parent = root2
    else:
        root2.parent = root1
        root1.rank++
Implementing Union-Find

- To find cloud of B
  - follow parent pointer to root

```javascript
// Finds the cloud of a given vertex
function find(x):
    while x != x.parent:
        x = x.parent
    return x
```

![Diagram](attachment:image.png)
Path Compression

- This approach to implementing `find` runs in $O(\log |V|)$
- We can bring this down to amortized $O(1)$ with path compression...
- ...a way of flattening the structure of the tree...
- ...whenever `find()` is used on it
Path Compression

- Instead of traversing up tree every time D's cloud is asked for
  - We only search for D's cloud once
  - As we follow chain of parents to A we set parents of D & C to A

\[
\text{Amortized } O(1)
\]

\[
\mathcal{O}(\log |V|)
\]
// Tweak find(...) to include path compression
function find(x):
    if x != x.parent:
        x.parent = find(x.parent)
    return x.parent
Runtime of Kruskal w/ Path Compression
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Activity #5
function `kruskal(G)`:  
// Input: undirected, weighted graph G  
// Output: list of edges in MST  
for vertices v in G:  
    makeCloud(v)  
MST = []  
Sort all edges  
for all edges (u,v) in G sorted by weight:  
    if u and v are not in same cloud:  
        add (u,v) to MST  
        merge clouds containing u and v  
return MST

\[ O(|V|) \]  
\[ O(|E| \log |E|) \]  
\[ O(|E|) \]  
\[ O(1) \] amortized
Kruskal Runtime

- $O(|V|)$ for iterating through vertices
- $O(|E| \log |E|)$ for sorting edges
- $O(|E|) \times O(1)$ for iterating through edges and merging clouds naively
- $O(|V|) + O(|E| \log |E|) + O(|E|) \times O(1)$
  - $= O(|E| \log |E|)$
- $O(|E| \log |E|)$ much better than $O(|V|^3)$
Readings

- Dasgupta Section 5.1
  - Explanations of MSTs
  - and both algorithms discussed in this lecture