


# Selection



# The Selection Problem



- Given an integer  $k$  and  $n$  elements  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ , taken from a total order, find the  $k$ -th smallest element in this set.
- Of course, we can sort the set in  $O(n \log n)$  time and then index the  $k$ -th element.


$k=3$     7 4 9 6 2 → 2 4 6 7 9

- Can we solve the selection problem faster?


# Quick-Select (§ 10.7)

- Quick select** is a **randomized** selection algorithm based on the **prune and search** paradigm:

- Prune:** pick a random element  $x$  (called **pivot**) and partition  $S$  into
  - $L$  elements less than  $x$
  - $E$  elements equal  $x$
  - $G$  elements greater than  $x$
- Search:** depending on  $k$ , either answer is in  $E$ , or we need to recurse in either  $L$  or  $G$



# Partition




- We partition an input sequence as in the quick-sort algorithm:
  - We remove, in turn, each element  $y$  from  $S$  and
  - We insert  $y$  into  $L$ ,  $E$  or  $G$ , depending on the result of the comparison with the pivot  $x$
- Each insertion and removal is at the beginning or at the end of a sequence, and hence takes  $O(1)$  time
- Thus, the partition step of quick-select takes  $O(n)$  time

```

Algorithm partition( $S, p$ )
  Input sequence  $S$ , position  $p$  of pivot
  Output subsequences  $L, E, G$  of the
  elements of  $S$  less than, equal to,
  or greater than the pivot, resp.
   $L, E, G \leftarrow$  empty sequences
   $x \leftarrow S.remove(p)$ 
  while  $\neg S.isEmpty()$ 
     $y \leftarrow S.remove(S.first())$ 
    if  $y < x$ 
       $L.insertLast(y)$ 
    else if  $y = x$ 
       $E.insertLast(y)$ 
    else  $\{ y > x \}$ 
       $G.insertLast(y)$ 
  return  $L, E, G$ 
    
```

# Quick-Select Visualization


- An execution of quick select can be visualized by a recursion path
  - Each node represents a recursive call of quick-select, and stores  $k$  and the remaining sequence



# Expected Running Time



- Consider a recursive call of quick-select on a sequence of size  $s$ 
  - Good call:** the sizes of  $L$  and  $G$  are each less than  $3s/4$
  - Bad call:** one of  $L$  and  $G$  has size greater than  $3s/4$



- A call is good with probability  $1/2$ 
  - $1/2$  of the possible pivots cause good calls:



# Expected Running Time, Part 2




- Probabilistic Fact #1:** The expected number of coin tosses required in order to get one head is two
- Probabilistic Fact #2:** Expectation is a linear function:
  - $E(X + Y) = E(X) + E(Y)$
  - $E(cX) = cE(X)$
- Let  $T(n)$  denote the expected running time of quick-select.
- By Fact #2,
  - $T(n) \leq T(3n/4) + bn \cdot (\text{expected \# of calls before a good call})$
- By Fact #1,
  - $T(n) \leq T(3n/4) + 2bn$
- That is,  $T(n)$  is a geometric series:
  - $T(n) \leq 2bn + 2b(3/4)n + 2b(3/4)^2n + 2b(3/4)^3n + \dots$
- So  $T(n)$  is  $O(n)$ .
- We can solve the selection problem in  $O(n)$  expected time.

# Deterministic Selection



- We can do selection in  $O(n)$  worst-case time.
- Main idea: recursively use the selection algorithm itself to find a good pivot for quick-select:
  - Divide  $S$  into  $n/5$  sets of 5 each
  - Find a median in each set
  - Recursively find the median of the "baby" medians.



- See Exercise C-10.24 for details of analysis.